Technology-mediated pedagogies in rural primary education in Colombia: challenges and opportunities

Willian Yesid Meneses Becerra

Advisor

Steffi Greisy Marin Diaz

Escuela Ciencias de la Educación

Universidad Nacional Abierta y a Distancia-UNAD

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of

Licenciado en Lenguas Extranjeras con énfasis en inglés

January 2026

Dedication

First and foremost, I dedicate and give thanks to God for granting me the opportunity to complete my undergraduate studies, for giving me health, discipline, and wisdom to successfully accomplish this important stage of my life. I dedicate this achievement to my mother, who has always believed in me and motivated me during challenging times. I also express my deepest gratitude to my wife and teacher, whose knowledge and guidance have been invaluable throughout my academic journey. At the same time, I dedicate this work to my three children, who have been my greatest source of strength and inspiration to continue growing personally and professionally. Finally, I would like to dedicate this research to my university to give me the opportunity to continue growing professionally, and to all my professors and tutors who have supported and inspired me with their knowledge and encouragement.

Specialized Analytical Summary

Title	**Technology-mediated pedagogies in rural primary education in Colombia: challenges and opportunities**
Author(s)	Willian Yesid Meneses Becerra
Editorial	Universidad Nacional Abierta y a Distancia
Date	2026
Keywords	Digital divide, Educational inclusion, Primary education, Rural education, Technology-mediated pedagogies.
Description	Monograph
Sources	Primary Sources: Abós Olivares, P. (2020). La escuela ubicada en territorios rurales: Una escuela diferente, un reto pedagógico. *Aula Abierta.* Blanco Ariza, Y. L., & Carruyo Durán, N. Y. (2022). La deserción escolar en educación rural. Revista Conocimiento, Investigación y Educación CIE. Cadavid Rojas, A. M. (2017). Las guías de aprendizaje: El currículo que se define para la escuela primaria rural desde el modelo Escuela Nueva en Colombia. Universidad de Medellín. Cardozo Gavilán, M. S. (2022). Uso de las TIC en el proceso de enseñanza–aprendizaje en estudiantes del primer y segundo ciclo de la educación escolar básica. *Ciencia Latina.*

Carrete-Marín, N., & Domingo-Peñafiel, L. (2021). Los recursos tecnológicos en las aulas multigrado. *Revista Brasileira de Educação do Campo.*

Carrete-Marín, N., & Domingo-Peñafiel, L. (2023). Transformación digital y educación abierta en la escuela rural. *Prisma Social*.

Coll Salvador, C., Díaz Barriga Arceo, F., Engel Rocamora, A., & Salinas Ibáñez, J. (2023). Evidencias de aprendizaje en prácticas educativas mediadas por tecnologías digitales. RIED.

Daniels, H. (2022). Vygotsky and pedagogy. Routledge.

Echavarría, C. V., Vanegas, J. H., & García, N. D. (2019). La educación rural no es un concepto urbano. *Revista Educación y Pedagogía*.

Forero Quiroga, I. Y. (2013). El rol del docente en la escuela rural multigrado. Universidad Pedagógica Nacional.

Garcés Prettel, M., Ruiz Cantillo, R., & Martínez Ávila, D. (2014). Transformación pedagógica mediada por TIC. Saber, Ciencia y Libertad.

Gómez Tocarruncho, J., Bustos Velazco, A., & Reyes Roncancio, J. (2021). La escuela rural como espacio sociocultural. *Revista Educación y Territorio.*

Hernández-Silva, D. (2020). Educación para el desarrollo rural: Análisis crítico del proceso de implementación del programa Escuela Nueva en Colombia. *Revista Innova Educación.*

Hoyos-Pipicano, Y. A., & Jaime-Osorio, M. F. (2025). Technology normalization in rural education. Educación y Educadores.

	Soto Arango, D. E., & Molina Pacheco, L. F. (2018). La escuela rural en Colombia como escenario de implementación de TIC. *Revista Historia de la Educación Latinoamericana.* Secondary Sources: Atchoarena, D., & Gasperini, L. (2003). Education for rural development: Towards new policy responses. FAO – UNESCO – IIPE. Echazarra, A., & Radinger, T. (2019). Learning in rural schools: Insights from PISA, TALIS and the literature. OECD. FAO, IIPE & OREALC/UNESCO. (2004). Educación para la población rural en América Latina. UNESCO. Ministerio de Educación Nacional. (2021). *Lineamiento técnico 2021: Programa Conexión Total*. Oficina de Tecnología y Sistemas de Información. Organización de las Naciones Unidas para la Educación, la Ciencia y la Cultura. (2023). *Informe de seguimiento de la educación en el mundo 2023: Tecnología en la educación: ¿Una herramienta en los términos de quién?* UNESCO. Peralta-Roncal, L. E., Gaona Portal, M. P., Luna Acuña, M. L., & Bazán Linares, M. V. (2023). Las TIC en educación secundaria: Una revisión sistemática. *Revista Andina de Educación*. Tertiary Sources:

	Cruz-Carbonell, V., Hernández-Arias, Á. F., & Silva-Arias, A. C. (2020). Cobertura de las TIC en la educación básica rural y urbana en Colombia. *Revista Profundidad.* Departamento Administrativo Nacional de Estadística. (2023). Colombia: Educación formal 2023. DANE. Fundación Empresarios por la Educación. (2024). ¿Cómo está Norte de Santander en educación? Retos y prioridades 2024–2027. Observatorio ExE. Gobernación de Norte de Santander. (2024). *Plan de Desarrollo para Norte de Santander 2024-2027: "Norte, Territorio de Paz"*. Ministerio de Educación Nacional. (2015). Proyecto de Educación Rural – PER II. MEN. Ministerio de Educación Nacional. (2020). Plan especial de educación rural. MEN. Ministerio de Educación Nacional. (2025). Lineamientos de integración de TIC en los procesos educativos. Organización de las Naciones Unidas para la Educación, la Ciencia y la Cultura. (2012). Declaración de París sobre los recursos educativos abiertos. UNESCO.
Contents	This monograph is organized into a series of interconnected sections that develop a critical documentary analysis of technology-mediated pedagogies in rural primary education in Colombia. The introductory section presents the research problem, objectives, and relevance of the study, situating it

	within the broader discussion on rural education and educational equity. The next section examines the contextual and structural features of rural primary education, emphasizing pedagogical organization, territorial conditions, and sociocultural dynamics. Subsequently, the monograph analyzes theoretical and pedagogical approaches to information and communication technologies in education, focusing on their role as pedagogical mediators rather than merely instrumental tools. A further section explores the challenges and opportunities associated with the implementation of Information and Communication Technologies (ICT) in rural primary schools, addressing issues of pedagogical innovation, inclusion, and access to knowledge. Finally, the document concludes with an analytical synthesis that integrates the main findings of the documentary review, highlighting their implications for pedagogical practice, educational policy, and future research on technology-mediated education in rural contexts.
Research Line	This monograph is framed within the Pedagogías Mediadas (Technology-Mediated Pedagogies) research line of the School of Education Sciences (ECEDU). This line focuses on the critical analysis of the relationships between pedagogy, technology, and educational practices, emphasizing the role of technological mediations in shaping teaching and learning processes across diverse educational contexts. The study contributes to this research line by examining how information and communication technologies operate as pedagogical mediators in rural

	primary education, rather than as merely instrumental resources. From an interpretive-critical perspective, the monograph analyzes how technology-mediated pedagogies intersect with curricular relevance, teacher practice, educational inclusion, and equity in rural contexts, highlighting both their transformative potential and their structural limitations. By addressing the pedagogical, epistemological, and contextual dimensions of ICT integration in rural primary schools, this research aligns with the core purposes of the Pedagogías Mediadas line, particularly in relation to innovation in pedagogical practices, critical reflection on educational technologies, and the construction of meaningful learning experiences in contexts marked by social and territorial inequalities.
Conclusions	This monograph concludes that technology-mediated pedagogies in rural primary education in Colombia are shaped primarily by pedagogical, curricular, and structural conditions rather than by technological availability alone. The documentary analysis shows that, while ICT is frequently incorporated into rural schools, its pedagogical impact depends on teacher mediation, curricular relevance, and contextual sensitivity. The study confirms that the general objective was achieved by critically analyzing how technology-mediated pedagogies are understood and enacted in rural contexts, identifying persistent challenges related to infrastructure, teacher training, and urban-centered curricular models, as well as opportunities for pedagogical innovation when mediation is intentional and

	context-responsive. The central contribution of the study lies in highlighting that educational technology should be understood as a pedagogical mediation rather than as a technical solution, reinforcing the need for equity-oriented and context-based approaches to ICT integration in rural primary education.
Advisor	Docente Tiempo Completo-CEAD Velez. Steffi Greisy Marín Díaz

Resumen

Esta monografía analiza los desafíos y oportunidades asociados con las pedagogías mediadas por tecnología en la educación primaria rural de Colombia, con énfasis en cómo la mediación pedagógica determina el uso educativo de las tecnologías de la información y la comunicación en contextos marcados por la desigualdad estructural. El estudio adoptó un enfoque de investigación documental cualitativa, basado en la revisión sistemática y el análisis de literatura académica, informes institucionales y documentos de política educativa nacional e internacional relacionados con la educación rural y la integración tecnológica. El corpus fue examinado mediante categorías analíticas vinculadas a la mediación pedagógica, la práctica docente, la pertinencia curricular y las condiciones contextuales. Los resultados indican que la presencia de tecnologías digitales en las escuelas primarias rurales no garantiza, por sí misma, la transformación pedagógica. En cambio, el impacto educativo de la tecnología está condicionado por la mediación docente, la coherencia entre los marcos curriculares y las realidades socioculturales rurales, y la disponibilidad de apoyo institucional sostenido. El análisis revela también que la tecnología se integra con frecuencia mediante enfoques instrumentales que reproducen modelos educativos urbano-céntricos, limitando su pertinencia en contextos rurales. No obstante, los hallazgos destacan que las pedagogías mediadas por tecnología ofrecen oportunidades pedagógicas significativas cuando se alinean intencionalmente con las necesidades contextuales, las dinámicas del aula multigrado y las prácticas educativas orientadas hacia la equidad. El estudio concluye que las pedagogías mediadas por tecnología deben entenderse como un proceso pedagógico y no como una solución técnica, subrayando la necesidad de enfoques contextualmente sensibles y pedagógicamente fundamentados para la integración de las tecnologías digitales en la educación primaria rural.

Palabras clave: educación rural, educación primaria, pedagogías mediadas por tecnología, inclusión educativa, brecha digital.

Abstract

This monograph analyzes the challenges and opportunities associated with technology-mediated pedagogies in rural primary education in Colombia, focusing on how pedagogical mediation shapes the educational use of information and communication technologies in contexts marked by structural inequality. The study adopted a qualitative documentary research approach based on the systematic review and analysis of academic literature, institutional reports, and national and international educational policy documents related to rural education and technology integration. The corpus was examined through analytical categories linked to pedagogical mediation, teacher practice, curricular relevance, and contextual conditions. The results indicate that the presence of digital technologies in rural primary schools does not, by itself, guarantee pedagogical transformation. Instead, the educational impact of technology is conditioned by teacher mediation, the coherence between curricular frameworks and rural sociocultural realities, and the availability of sustained institutional support. The analysis also reveals that technology is frequently integrated through instrumental approaches that reproduce urban-centered educational models, limiting its relevance in rural contexts. However, the findings highlight that technology-mediated pedagogies offer significant pedagogical opportunities when they are intentionally aligned with contextual needs, multigrade classroom dynamics, and equity-oriented educational practices. The study concludes that technology-mediated pedagogies should be understood as a pedagogical process rather than a technical solution, emphasizing the need for context-sensitive and pedagogically grounded approaches to the integration of digital technologies in rural primary education.

Keywords: Digital divide, Educational inclusion, Primary education, Rural education, Technology-mediated pedagogies.

Table of Contents

Introduction

Rural primary education in Colombia constitutes a field traversed by persistent structural inequalities that have historically limited access to educational resources, pedagogical continuity, and curricular relevance for children in dispersed and marginalized territories. Notwithstanding the central role that rural schools perform in guaranteeing the right to education for these populations, they continue to confront conditions of limited infrastructure, insufficient connectivity, scarce teacher accompaniment, and curricula whose pertinence to the lived realities of rural communities remains profoundly questionable. It is within this structurally inequitable context that the integration of information and communication technologies has been institutionally promoted, over the past three decades, as a strategic opportunity to extinguish the educational gap separating urban from rural schooling, a promise whose distance from its actual realization in rural classrooms constitutes the central problem that motivates this study. This monograph is inscribed within the Pedagogías Mediadas research line of the Escuela de Ciencias de la Educación of the Universidad Nacional Abierta y a Distancia, a line that conceives mediation not merely as an instrumental mechanism for the transmission of information, but as a complex relational field in which pedagogical knowledge and its diverse connotations are appropriated across multiple educational scenarios. From this epistemological standpoint, the pedagogical use of ICT in rural primary classrooms necessarily implies the development of autonomous learning processes grounded in the sociocultural realities of the learner, the reflexive and communicative action of the teacher as mediator, and the dialogic construction of knowledge within community-embedded educational environments, dimensions that together configure the problematic nucleus around which this study organizes its analytical inquiry.

The relevance of examining technology-mediated pedagogies in rural primary education resides in the evidence, accumulated across national and international literature, that the mere presence of digital devices in schools does not guarantee pedagogical transformation. Rather, the educational impact of technology is conditioned by teacher mediation, curricular coherence, and sustained institutional support, conditions that Colombian rural education has not been able to produce consistently or equitably. In practice, digitalization programs have frequently reproduced urban-centered educational models and instrumental logics that are disconnected from the sociocultural and organizational specificities of the rural school, among which the multigrade classroom and the school's embeddedness in community life are the most defining. This situation makes it necessary to examine technology not as a neutral educational resource but as a pedagogical mediation whose meaning depends on the contextual, institutional, and pedagogical conditions that frame its use, a conceptual reorientation that this monograph assumes as its epistemological foundation and that finds direct correspondence with the mediating and relational conception of pedagogy that orients the research line within which this work is situated.

The general objective of this study is to analyze, through critical documentary review, how technology-mediated pedagogies are conceptualized and implemented in rural Colombian primary education, identifying the challenges and opportunities that emerge under conditions of structural inequality. To accomplish this, the research adopts a qualitative approach of interpretive-critical orientation, grounded in the systematic review and analysis of academic literature, national and international educational policy documents, and institutional reports. The analytical process was organized around four categories, namely pedagogical mediation, technosolutionist approaches, socioterritorial contexts, and curricular relevance, which together

allowed for a rigorous and structured examination of the documentary corpus. It is important to clarify that this study does not evaluate specific programs or measure empirical learning outcomes; its scope is documentary and analytical, oriented toward understanding how the field is theorized and problematized rather than toward producing primary empirical evidence.

The document is organized into several interconnected sections that develop progressively from context to analysis. The first chapter contextualizes rural primary education in Colombia, examining its structural characteristics, pedagogical organization, and sociocultural relevance. The second and third chapters analyze the conceptual and pedagogical foundations of technology-mediated pedagogies, attending to the tensions between instrumental and mediating conceptions of ICT. The subsequent sections address the principal challenges and opportunities that the documentary review identifies in the pedagogical use of ICT in rural primary schools. The monograph concludes with analytical conclusions and recommendations derived from the entire study, oriented toward strengthening technology-mediated pedagogies in ways that are contextually sensitive, pedagogically grounded, and genuinely responsive to the educational rights of rural communities in Colombia, contributions that it is hoped may serve as a reflective input for the autonomous and dialogic construction of knowledge that the specialized formation undertaken within this program has consistently demanded.

Objectives

General Objective

To analyze, through critical documentary review, how technology-mediated pedagogies are conceptualized and implemented in rural Colombian primary education, identifying the challenges and opportunities that emerge under conditions of structural inequality.

Specific Objectives

To describe the main theoretical and pedagogical frameworks from which technological mediation is conceptualized in rural primary education contexts.

To examine the structural conditions of inequality that determine the implementation of ICT in rural Colombian primary schools.

To analyze the challenges and opportunities that the literature identifies in the pedagogical use of ICT in rural Colombian primary education.

To propose contextualized guidelines to strengthen technology-mediated pedagogies in rural primary education, based on the findings of the documentary analysis.

Problem Statement

Rural primary education in Colombia represents a persistent challenge within national and international educational agendas due to its structural association with territorial marginalization, limited access to pedagogical resources, and conditions of chronic institutional neglect. UNESCO (2023) has documented with considerable clarity that rural populations experience systematically lower educational quality, reduced infrastructure, and fewer opportunities for pedagogical innovation than their urban counterparts, a disparity that in the Colombian context is deepened by geographic dispersion, multigrade classroom organization, and the pronounced absence of sustained institutional accompaniment for rural teachers. The integration of information and communication technologies has been promoted for three decades as the strategic response to this structural deficit, yet the accumulated evidence demonstrates that this promise has not materialized in any consistent or equitable manner across the rural school network.

The central problem that motivates this research resides in the gap between the institutional discourse of technological modernization and the pedagogical reality of Colombian rural classrooms. Soto Arango and Molina Pacheco (2018) document that in numerous rural schools, devices distributed through governmental programs remain underutilized or entirely unused, not because of teacher incompetence, but because no access policy devoid of sustained pedagogical accompaniment can produce meaningful integration. This finding reveals a structural contradiction at the heart of Colombian rural education policy: digitalization programs have consistently privileged indicators of coverage and equipment provision over the pedagogical conditions that would determine whether those resources generate genuine learning. The consequences of this contradiction are not abstract; they manifest in classrooms where

technology reproduces rather than transforms the transmissive dynamics it was ostensibly introduced to overcome, and in communities whose right to quality education continues to be deferred through successive cycles of provision without formation.

This study is delimited to the documentary and analytical examination of how technology-mediated pedagogies are conceptualized, implemented, and problematized in rural primary education in Colombia, with particular attention to the tensions between instrumental and mediating conceptions of ICT, the structural conditions of inequality that frame their implementation, and the pedagogical, curricular, and institutional factors that determine whether technology functions as a genuine mediation or as a mechanism that reproduces existing exclusions. The study does not evaluate specific programs or generate primary empirical evidence; its scope is documentary and interpretive, grounded in the critical review of academic literature, national policy documents, and international frameworks produced between 2014 and 2024, with the incorporation of seminal theoretical contributions predating that window where analytically necessary.

The research question that organizes this inquiry is the following: what are the theoretical and pedagogical approaches, structural barriers, opportunities, and implementation conditions of ICT-mediated pedagogies in rural primary education in Colombia, as evidenced by the available academic and documentary literature? From this central question, the general objective of the study is to analyze, through critical documentary review, how technology-mediated pedagogies are conceptualized and implemented in rural Colombian primary education, identifying the challenges and opportunities that emerge under conditions of structural inequality. This general objective is developed through four specific objectives: to describe the main theoretical and pedagogical frameworks from which technological mediation is conceptualized in rural primary

education contexts; to examine the structural conditions of inequality that determine the implementation of ICT in rural Colombian primary schools; to analyze the challenges and opportunities that the literature identifies in the pedagogical use of ICT in those settings; and to propose contextualized orientative guidelines to strengthen technology-mediated pedagogies in rural primary education based on the findings of the documentary analysis. From my perspective as a Colombian student and future educator, the urgency of addressing this problem is not only academic; it is political, in the sense that the continued deferral of genuine pedagogical investment in rural schools constitutes a choice whose educational costs are borne, with systematic regularity, by the communities that can least afford to sustain them.

Research Question

What are the theoretical and pedagogical approaches, barriers, opportunities, and implementation conditions of ICT-mediated pedagogies in rural primary education in Colombia, as evidenced by the available academic and documentary literature?

Justification

The justification for this research resides in the convergence of an academic gap and a social urgency that Colombian educational policy has not yet addressed with the rigor and political commitment that the evidence demands. From an academic standpoint, the specialized literature on ICT in education has produced a substantial body of theoretical frameworks and empirical findings, yet the systematic examination of how those frameworks intersect with the specific pedagogical, territorial, and organizational conditions of Colombian rural primary schools remains insufficiently developed. The dominant research tradition has tended to analyze technology-mediated pedagogies either from universalizing theoretical positions elaborated in urban or international contexts, or from descriptive policy evaluations that prioritize coverage indicators over pedagogical depth. This monograph seeks to contribute to filling that analytical gap by articulating a critical documentary review that places the epistemological conditions of rural education at the center of the analysis rather than treating them as contextual variables peripheral to the main inquiry.

The practical relevance of this research is inseparable from the structural reality that motivates it. DANE (2023) documents that a significant proportion of Colombian students who attend rural primary schools do so under conditions that compromise the quality and continuity of their educational experience, and Cruz-Carbonell et al. (2020) demonstrate that the digital divide between rural and urban basic education remains pronounced and is not diminishing at the pace that institutional discourse suggests. In this context, the examination of technology-mediated pedagogies is not an abstract academic exercise; it is a contribution to understanding why three decades of rural digitalization programs, from Computadores para Educar to Programa Conexión Total, have produced coverage statistics without producing the pedagogical

transformation they promised, and what conditions would need to be constructed for that transformation to become a realistic rather than a merely aspirational outcome. The findings of this study are directly relevant to teacher educators, educational policymakers, school administrators, and the rural communities whose right to quality education depends on the decisions those actors make.

The expected impact of this research operates on two interconnected levels. At the level of educational policy, the analytical conclusions derived from the documentary review can inform the design of ICT integration programs that move beyond provision logic toward the construction of the institutional and pedagogical conditions that the literature consistently identifies as necessary for genuine mediation: sustained teacher formation, curricular flexibility responsive to rural sociocultural realities, and long-term accompaniment that survives the discontinuities of political cycles. At the level of pedagogical practice, the conceptual clarifications this study develops around the distinction between instrumental use and genuine mediation can provide rural teachers and their formators with analytical tools to interrogate their own practices and the institutional frameworks that condition them.

The urgency of this research is not diminishing with time; it is intensifying. The Gobernación de Norte de Santander (2024) acknowledges in its territorial development plan that the structural barriers confronting rural education in that department, including limited connectivity, geographic dispersion, and insufficient pedagogical support, persist with the same force that successive policy cycles identified as priorities and failed to resolve. UNESCO (2023) warns with unusual institutional directness that the introduction of digital technologies without contextualized pedagogical frameworks may deepen rather than attenuate pre-existing inequalities, a trajectory that the Colombian rural educational record suggests is already in

progress. From my perspective as a Colombian student who has inhabited the territorial and educational realities this literature describes, the justification for this research is ultimately not methodological but ethical: the rural communities of this country have waited long enough for an educational system that treats their schools as sites of genuine pedagogical possibility rather than as peripheral recipients of programs designed for a different population, and the intellectual obligation of any academic work that addresses their situation is to say that clearly and without attenuation.

Documentary Analysis

Context and foundations of rural primary education in Colombia: an approach from the literature

Translation: Characteristics of Rural Primary Education in Colombia

Rural schooling in Colombia has historically been defined from the outside. Normative frameworks, curricular designs, and much of the academic literature have tended to conceive of it as a deficient variant of urban schooling a peripheral modality awaiting modernization rather than a pedagogical form with its own logic and internal coherence. This operation is not innocuous: it carries material consequences for how rural education is diagnosed, funded, and intervened upon. As Echavarría et al. (2019) demonstrate, imposing urban educational schemes onto rural realities not only distorts analysis but actively reproduces the very conditions of exclusion it purports to address, by requiring rural schools to justify themselves through what they lack rather than through what they constitute. Taking this critique as a starting point is not a minor methodological decision; it is an epistemological imperative that determines from which standpoint the evidence is read.

From this perspective, rural primary education in Colombia is better understood as a situated educational process, whose pedagogical organization emerges from concrete territorial conditions rather than from abstract planning. More than fifty percent of the national territory is classified as rural, yet this figure coexists with a severe structural reality: high rates of school dropout, persistent coverage gaps relative to the urban context, and infrastructure conditions that in numerous municipalities prevent the regular functioning of the educational service (Departamento Administrativo Nacional de Estadística [DANE], 2023; Ministerio de Educación Nacional [MEN], 2015).These are not background data points; they constitute the framework

within which any pedagogical proposal, including those mediated by technology, must be evaluated.

One of the most defining organizational characteristics of rural primary schooling is the multigrade classroom, in which a single teacher simultaneously attends to students of different ages, grade levels, and stages of learning. This condition has been interpreted in two radically distinct ways in the literature. One reading, common in institutional diagnostics, treats it as a structural limitation arising from resource scarcity. Another, more closely aligned with situated pedagogical studies, understands it as an organizational form that demands and simultaneously potentiates didactic strategies of considerable complexity: heterogeneity not as an obstacle but as a pedagogical condition that requires from the teacher a continuous capacity for adaptation that homogeneous classroom models simply do not demand (Abós Olivares, 2020). This second reading does not romanticize precarity; rather, it refuses to reduce the diversity of the rural classroom to a symptom of deficiency. Both interpretations coexist in the Colombian literature, and critical analysis cannot resolve them artificially in favor of either without sacrificing precision.

The rural teacher occupies in this setting a role that transcends the strictly pedagogical. Forero Quiroga (2013) demonstrates that the rural teacher operates simultaneously as a curricular mediator, a community manager, and, in many cases, as the sole institutional agent of the State present in territories characterized by high geographic dispersion. This accumulation of functions does not result from a pedagogical decision but from the weakness of institutional support: the rural school is frequently the only state apparatus that functions with any regularity in contexts where the remainder of public services are intermittent or non-existent (MEN, 2015). From this

standpoint, the rural teacher is not simply a facilitator of learning but a territorial actor, and any policy of technological integration that disregards this condition is designed to fail.

At the organizational level, the Escuela Nueva model has constituted the most systematic response by the Colombian State to the particularities of the multigrade rural primary school. Its contributions in terms of coverage, community participation, and pedagogical organization have been extensively documented. However, the critical reading offered by Hernández-Silva (2020) introduces a tension that the official narrative tends to silence: the achievements attributed to Escuela Nueva coexist with an uneven implementation across the territory, with the absence of systematic monitoring indicators, and with a poorly articulated national vision that has allowed the model to be applied in forms so divergent that it becomes difficult to speak of it as a unitary experience. This observation does not invalidate the model, but it requires abandoning its idealisation and treating it for what it is: a valuable yet incomplete pedagogical undertaking that demands critical updating before unreflective reproduction.

The sociocultural dimension of the rural school adds a layer of understanding that strictly organisational or curricular analyses tend to omit. The rural school is not merely a space for formal instruction; it is, in many Colombian territories, a site of community encounter where peasant knowledge, agricultural practices, collective memories, and intergenerational relationships converge elements that find no place in the formal curriculum yet determine the meaning that communities assign to schooling (Gómez Tocarruncho et al., 2021). To ignore this sociocultural dimension is not a neutral omission; it is to opt for an impoverished analysis that conflates the school institution with the totality of the educational process. Within this framework, the legitimacy of the rural school before its community depends not solely on

academic outcomes but on its capacity to recognize and articulate the knowledge systems and life projects of those who inhabit it.

From a comparative perspective, the report by Echazarra and Radinger (2019) for the OECD introduces an additional element that situates the Colombian case within a broader framework: the disadvantages faced by rural students across educational systems are not a Latin American particularity but a global tendency, and their persistence is associated not with characteristics inherent to rurality but with educational policy decisions that have historically concentrated resources, teacher training, and pedagogical innovation in urban contexts. This observation carries direct implications for the analysis: the gaps between rural and urban education in Colombia are not the result of natural conditions or cultural deficits, but of sustained unequal allocations that can only be reversed through equally sustained and structurally coherent interventions (Atchoarena & Gasperini, 2003).

In summary, rural primary education in Colombia is configured as a pedagogical, social, and territorial field of intervention that is profoundly heterogeneous, traversed by tensions between its actual conditions and the frameworks through which it has historically been read and intervened upon. Understanding its characteristics is not a descriptive exercise preliminary to analysis; it is already an analytical operation that demands taking a position with respect to the interpretive frameworks available. It is from that position that the following sections of this work examine the role that information and communication technologies may occupy within this setting, without losing sight of the fact that no technological mediation operates in a vacuum, but always within structural conditions that either enable or frustrate it.

Information and Communication Technologies in rural education: between instrumental use and pedagogical mediation

Any discussion of information and communication technologies in Colombian rural education demands, before anything else, a conceptual decision: determining from which standpoint those technologies are to be read. This is not a trivial question. Depending on whether they are conceived as devices, as infrastructure, as tools of modernization, or as pedagogical mediations, the questions formulated about them, the problems identified, and the solutions proposed are radically distinct. This section assumes that such a decision carries analytical and political consequences, and makes it explicit before proceeding.

The concept of mediation is not a convenient metaphor but a precise theoretical category whose origins matter. Within the Vygotskian tradition, mediation designates the process through which symbolic and cultural instruments intervene in human cognitive development, transforming not only the execution of a task but the very structure of the thinking that performs it. Daniels (2022), updating this framework for the contemporary pedagogical context, specifies that digital technologies operate as mediations in the Vygotskian sense only when they are integrated into educational practices that promote the active construction of knowledge and meaningful interaction among subjects, and not when they are reduced to channels for transmitting previously structured content. This distinction is not semantic: it defines whether a technology transforms learning or merely overlays it with the appearance of novelty.

Cardozo Gavilán (2022) brings this distinction to the terrain of concrete practice and cautions that ICT are neither pedagogically neutral nor universally beneficial. Their transformative potential depends on specific educational decisions: what the teacher does with them, how they are articulated within the curriculum, and what kind of student participation they promote. When such decisions are absent or unreflective, technology does not mediate learning but reproduces it in a different format, leaving intact the transmissive logics it was ostensibly

introduced to overcome. Peralta-Roncal et al. (2023) reinforces this reading by demonstrating that the pedagogical effects of ICT are conditioned by the coherence between formative purposes, didactic strategies, and the context of implementation, such that the same tool can generate profoundly different learning experiences depending on the pedagogical framework that orients it.

Against this complex understanding, the dominant tendency in Colombian educational policy has been to approach ICT from a radically different logic. Digital education programmes, including those directed specifically at rural schools, have historically privileged indicators of technological access and coverage: number of devices per student, percentage of connected institutions, quantity of equipment distributed. This logic, which Garcés-Prettel et al. (2014) term the instrumental approach, conceives of technology as a good to be delivered rather than a practice to be constructed, and rests on the implicit assumption that the availability of an artefact produces pedagogical transformation on its own. The problem with this assumption is not merely theoretical. Soto Arango and Molina Pacheco (2018) document that in numerous Colombian rural schools, devices distributed through government programmes remain underused or entirely unused, not because teachers are incompetent but because no access policy without sustained pedagogical training can produce meaningful integration. The artefact arrives; the mediation does not.

UNESCO (2023) elevates this observation to a systemic critique. Its World Education Monitoring Report states unequivocally that the introduction of digital technologies into educational systems does not guarantee improvements in learning outcomes, and that in the absence of contextualised pedagogical frameworks, it may even reinforce pre-existing inequalities and reproduce models of standardisation that are particularly detrimental to the most

vulnerable populations. This assertion carries particular political weight when read in the Colombian rural context, where digitalisation programmes have frequently operated under a logic of homogenisation that ignores the specific conditions of the territory: intermittent electricity supply, the absence of stable connectivity, the heterogeneity of the multigrade classroom, and the distance between available digital content and the social, productive, and cultural realities of rural communities.

Carrete-Marín and Domingo-Peñafiel (2023) situate this tension in the specific context of digital transformation in rural schools and conclude that digitalisation without pedagogical grounding produces what they term apparent innovation: schools that are fully equipped yet teach exactly as before, only with screens. Their analysis converges essentially with that of Carrete-Marín and Domingo-Peñafiel (2021), which examines the use of technological resources in multigrade classrooms and finds that the presence of the resource does not in itself alter pedagogical dynamics or the quality of didactic interaction. Both works point to the same underlying problem: the issue is not the technology but the absence of a pedagogy to orient it, and that absence is not accidental but the result of policies that prioritise equipment provision over teacher training.

Hoyos-Pipicano et al. (2025) introduce a nuance that deepens this analysis: the distinction between technological change and pedagogical change is not always visible from the outside. A class may appear innovative because it uses tablets or digital platforms while nonetheless reproducing an entirely transmissive logic in which the teacher remains the sole repository of knowledge and the student a passive recipient of now-digitalised content. This observation is theoretically unsettling because it compels recognition that the pedagogical use of ICT does not occur automatically nor is it guaranteed by good intentions: it requires specific teacher training,

critical reflection on one's own practice, and institutional conditions capable of sustaining that reflection over time.

Echavarría et al. (2019) add a dimension that technology-centred analyses tend to neglect: the framework from which ICT integration policies in rural education are designed remains predominantly urban. The assumptions of stable connectivity, of students with their own devices, of teachers with prior digital training, of standardised curricula, and of families with the cultural capital to accompany technology-mediated learning correspond to conditions that describe middle-class urban contexts reasonably well but describe the Colombian rural school very poorly. To implement programmes upon such assumptions is to design a solution for a problem other than the one ostensibly being addressed. The MEN (2025), in its guidelines for ICT integration in educational processes, explicitly acknowledges the need to adapt technological approaches to territorial contexts, although the distance between that normative recognition and the concrete practices of implementation remains significant.

Research by the Hoyos-Pipicano and Jaime-Osorio (2025) on technological normalisation in Colombian rural education contributes a perspective that productively complicates the debate. Its findings suggest that in some rural schools, teachers have developed forms of technological appropriation that do not respond to any institutional design but to processes of contextual adaptation generated from everyday practice: they use available technology in ways no government programme anticipated, articulating it with the dynamics of the multigrade classroom and with local knowledge in a manner that is intuitive yet pedagogically coherent. This finding does not invalidate the critique of the instrumental approach, but it does compel recognition that teacher agency can produce genuine pedagogical mediation even in the absence of optimal institutional conditions. What it suggests is not that training and institutional support

are dispensable, but that the rural teacher is not a passive recipient of policies but an actor capable of reinterpreting the tools made available.

What emerges from this body of readings is not a reassuring conclusion but a productive tension that this work deliberately sustains: ICT hold real potential to transform pedagogical processes in Colombian rural primary education, but that potential is only actualized when technological mediation is oriented by an explicit pedagogical intentionality, sustained by continuous teacher training, contextualized within territorial realities, and backed by policies that extend beyond infrastructure provision. When any of those conditions is absent, and in the Colombian rural school several of them are absent simultaneously, technology does not mediate learning but reproduces the very inequalities it promised to reduce. To acknowledge that possibility is not pessimism; it is the minimum condition for formulating analytically honest questions about what technology can and cannot do in that context.

Perspectives from Literature for Strengthening Rural Primary Education

Strengthening rural primary education is not a task that can be reduced to the provision of resources or the expansion of coverage. It is, above all, a political decision about what kind of education the rural population deserves and under what conditions the State is prepared to guarantee it. This distinction is not rhetorical: it determines whether the strengthening proposals offered in the literature are conceived as technical interventions on a deficient system or as structural transformations of a historically unequal one. This section adopts the second reading and, from that standpoint, critically examines the perspectives that the specialised literature has constructed to guide the strengthening of rural primary education, identifying both their contributions and their tensions and limitations.

From an international perspective, Atchoarena and Gasperini (2003) established an interpretive framework that remains relevant precisely because it refused to treat rural education as a technical problem of educational management. Their central argument is that education in rural territories only acquires meaning and sustainability when it is articulated within broader rural development projects, recognising that living conditions, local economies, and community knowledge are not the external context of education but its very substance. This position has direct consequences for any strengthening proposal: a policy that improves coverage indicators without transforming the living conditions of the territory may produce schooling without education, that is, institutional presence without social rootedness or pedagogical relevance. In keeping with this approach, FAO, IIPE and OREALC/UNESCO (2004) elaborated on the Latin American dimension of that articulation, documenting experiences in which the strengthening of rural education was conditioned not only by decisions within the education sector but by agrarian, health, infrastructure, and cultural recognition policies. This multisectoral perspective, which the international literature sustains with consistency, contrasts with the sectoral logics that have historically predominated in Colombian rural education policy, where strengthening has tended to be conceived as a matter exclusive to the Ministerio de Educación Nacional.

Echazarra and Radinger (2019), from the comparative perspective of the OECD, contribute an additional dimension that unsettles simplistic interpretations of the causes of rural educational underachievement. Their analysis of PISA and TALIS data across multiple countries demonstrates that the learning gaps between rural and urban students are not explained by characteristics inherent to rurality or by cultural deficits of peasant communities, but by systematic educational policy decisions that concentrate the best teachers, the greatest investment, and pedagogical innovations in urban contexts. These finding shifts responsibility

for rural educational failure away from communities and towards public policy systems, and implies that the genuine strengthening of rural primary education requires not only more resources but a deliberate redistribution of pedagogical opportunities that have historically been concentrated in urban settings.

At the Colombian level, the Proyecto de Educación Rural PER II of the MEN (2015) represents the most systematic effort by the State to translate that redistributive logic into concrete policy. Its lines of action on flexible educational models, contextualized teacher training, and materials provision constituted real advances relative to the historical absence of specific policies for rural settings. However, the critical evaluation by Hernández-Silva (2020) of the implementation of the Escuela Nueva model, which is directly articulated with the PER II, reveals a persistent gap between policy design and its territorial materialization. The findings show that the achievements of the model coexist with uneven application across the territory, the absence of rigorous monitoring systems, and weak articulation between national bodies and the local realities of each municipality. This tension between policy discourse and effective implementation is not a minor administrative problem: it is the expression of a structural weakness of the Colombian State in sustaining pedagogical interventions in territories marked by high geographic dispersion and historical institutional marginalization.

Cadavid Rojas (2017) elaborates on one of the most concrete dimensions of that strengthening process: the curricular organization of the rural primary school through the learning guides of the Escuela Nueva model. The analysis reveals that these guides, originally conceived as instruments of pedagogical flexibility, tend in practice to operate as pre-structured curricula that regulate time, content, and school activities in advance, reducing the teacher's margin for adapting to the particularities of the multigrade classroom. This observation does not

invalidate the value of the guides as a pedagogical resource, but it does reveal a paradox: the instrument designed to render teaching more flexible can become, when applied unreflectively, a mechanism of curricular rigidification that reproduces in the rural context the homogenizing logic characteristic of the urban school. The curricular strengthening of rural primary education therefore requires not only better materials but teachers with the capacity and autonomy to adapt them, interrogate them, and, when necessary, transcend them.

It is precisely at this point that the role of the rural teacher emerges as the strategic axis of any strengthening proposal. Forero Quiroga (2013) documents that the rural teacher does not merely teach: the teacher manages the community, mediates between the State and the territory, accompanies local development processes, and frequently sustains institutional presence in places where no other state agent operates with any regularity. This accumulation of functions, which substantially exceeds the conventional pedagogical role, is neither recognised nor compensated by teacher training systems or professional career policies, which continue to evaluate the rural teacher according to the same criteria designed for the urban teacher. To strengthen rural education without transforming the conditions of training, support, and recognition of the rural teacher is to build on fragile foundations: all other interventions depend, ultimately, on the quality and relevance of the pedagogical action that teacher is able to deploy.

A dimension that State-centred and curriculum-centred strengthening proposals tend to underestimate is that of school retention. Blanco Ariza and Carruyo Durán (2022) demonstrate that school dropout in Colombian rural contexts is a multicausal phenomenon that cannot be attributed to a single factor or resolved through a single intervention. Their findings indicate that the causes of dropout combine individual, family, institutional, and community dimensions in an interdependent manner, such that interventions focused on a single dimension have limited and

frequently temporary impact. This reading has direct implications for strengthening proposals: a rural school with better materials, more highly trained teachers, and more relevant curricula may continue to lose students if the socioeconomic conditions of families, geographic distance, child labour, or territorial violence are not addressed simultaneously. The strengthening of rural primary education is, in this sense, inseparable from the strengthening of the living conditions of the communities that inhabit it.

Gómez Tocarruncho et al. (2021) add to this understanding a perspective that shifts the centre of gravity of strengthening from institutions towards communities. Their analysis of the rural school as a sociocultural space demonstrates that improvement proposals that neither recognize nor articulate local knowledge, productive practices, and community memories tend to be perceived as external impositions and generate resistance or indifference within communities. The legitimacy of the rural school before its surrounding community depends not solely on its academic outcomes but on its capacity to constitute itself as a community actor that engages in dialogue with the territory and contributes to its collective projects. From this perspective, Echavarría et al. (2019) reinforce the idea that the strengthening of rural education requires breaking with the urban interpretive frameworks that have historically defined what counts as legitimate knowledge, what is considered quality learning, and what type of school is deemed worthy of strengthening. As long as those frameworks remain intact, improvement proposals risk reproducing, with new tools and new discourses, the same homogenizing logic that has contributed to the marginalization of rural education for decades.

What emerges from this critical review is not a catalogue of solutions but a set of conditions without which no strengthening proposal can be sustained over time: articulation between education and territorial development, redistribution of pedagogical opportunities,

autonomy and recognition of the rural teacher, simultaneous attention to the causes of dropout, and recognition of the community as an actor in the educational process rather than merely a beneficiary of it. These conditions are neither independent of one another nor can they be addressed sequentially: their interdependence is precisely what makes the strengthening of rural primary education a public policy challenge of the first order, and what justifies the position that any analysis of the role of information and communication technologies in that context must begin by understanding them not as autonomous solutions but as mediations that operate within that complex web of structural, pedagogical, and community conditions.

Implications of the rural context in educational processes

Understanding the implications of the rural context for educational processes requires abandoning a distinction that conventional pedagogical theory takes for granted: the separation between context and education, as though the former were the neutral setting within which the latter unfolds. In the Colombian rural school, that separation does not exist. The territory is not the backdrop against which the educational experience develops but an active force that shapes what is taught, how it is taught, who teaches, and under what conditions learning takes place. Recognizing this condition is not a romantic gesture towards rurality but an analytical imperative without which any pedagogical proposal, including those mediated by technology, will be responding to a problem other than the one that actually exists.

The first implication of the rural context for educational processes manifests in the pedagogical organization of the classroom. Colombian rural primary schooling operates predominantly under the multigrade modality, in which a single teacher simultaneously attends to students of different ages, grade levels, and stages of learning. This condition has been interpreted in radically different ways in the pedagogical literature. Abós Olivares (2020) argues

that the heterogeneity of the multigrade classroom does not constitute simply an organizational limitation arising from resource scarcity, but a differentiated pedagogical condition that demands and simultaneously potentiates didactic strategies of considerable complexity. From this reading, diversity is not the obstacle but the starting point of rural pedagogical practice, and any improvement proposal that treats it as a deficit to be corrected is operating from a conceptually mistaken framework. Nevertheless, this positive appraisal of heterogeneity must be placed in tension with the material reality of its implementation. Cadavid Rojas (2017) demonstrates that the learning guides of the Escuela Nueva model, conceived precisely to render multigrade teaching more flexible, tend to structure content, time, and school activities in advance, reducing the teacher's margin for pedagogical adaptation and reproducing, paradoxically, a logic of curricular homogenization within a model designed to overcome it. This tension between the potential of the heterogeneous classroom and the constraints of the prescribed curriculum is one of the most concrete and least resolved pedagogical implications of the Colombian rural context.

In this setting, the rural teacher assumes a role that far exceeds the function of a facilitator of learning. Forero Quiroga (2013) documents that the rural teacher operates simultaneously as a curricular mediator, a community manager, a family counsellor, and, in many territories characterized by high geographic dispersion, as the sole visible representative of the State before communities that have learned to distrust institutions on account of their historical absence. This accumulation of functions is not the result of a pedagogical decision but of a structural weakness: when the State does not reach, the school reaches in its place, and when the school reaches, it is the teacher who bears the weight of everything the State failed to do. The implications of this situation for any educational strengthening process are direct: it is not possible to transform the learning experience in the rural school without simultaneously

transforming the conditions under which the rural teacher practices, including training, pedagogical support, and institutional recognition of the specificity of the role.

The second dimension of the implications of the rural context is expressed in the institutional organization of schools and in their relationship with the State. Echazarra and Radinger (2019) demonstrate, on the basis of comparative OECD evidence, that rural schools operate systematically with less infrastructure, less access to pedagogical resources, and less technical support than urban schools, and that this gap is not accidental but the result of educational policy decisions that have historically concentrated investment and innovation in urban contexts. In Colombia, the MEN (2020) acknowledges that educational management in rural territories faces specific challenges associated with geographic dispersion, the intermittency of the educational service, and the weak articulation between national policies and the institutional capacities of the most remote municipalities. This gap between the normative recognition of the problem and the State's actual capacity to address it is one of the most persistent institutional implications of the rural context: policies exist, programmes are designed, but their effective reach to the most distant schools depends on an implementation chain that fractures repeatedly at the territorial level.

The retention of students within the educational system constitutes another critical implication of the rural context that pedagogical proposals cannot afford to ignore. Blanco Ariza and Carruyo Durán (2022) demonstrate that school dropout in Colombian rural settings is the result of an accumulation of interdependent factors operating simultaneously across different levels: child labor, geographic distance, the economic precarity of families, territorial violence, and the perception that the school offers content disconnected from the realities and life projects of peasant communities. This multicausal nature has a direct implication for any educational

intervention: a school with better pedagogical resources, more highly trained teachers, and more relevant curricula may continue to lose students if the structural conditions that generate dropout are not addressed in parallel. School retention is not a secondary indicator of the rural educational system but a condition of possibility for everything else: without it, no pedagogical mediation, whether technological or otherwise, can have sustained impact.

The third dimension of the implications of the rural context is sociocultural in nature and is, perhaps, the most systematically ignored by educational policy. Gómez Tocarruncho et al. (2021) document that the Colombian rural school is not solely an institution of formal instruction but a site of community encounter in which peasant knowledge, agricultural practices, historical memories, and intergenerational relationships converge, elements that find no place in the official curriculum yet determine the meaning that communities assign to schooling. When the school recognizes and articulates that knowledge, it becomes a legitimate territorial actor that contributes to social cohesion and to the construction of life projects rooted in the territory. When it ignores them, it becomes an institution perceived as alien, whose attendance is tolerated but whose educational project is not shared. Echavarría et al. (2019) reinforce this reading by noting that the imposition of urban curricular frameworks upon rural realities is not merely a problem of pedagogical relevance but an exercise of power that renders locally valid forms of knowledge invisible and reinforces the idea that the rural is a space of cultural deficiency rather than a territory with its own legitimate knowledge systems.

It is within this web of pedagogical, organizational, and sociocultural implications that any proposal for technological integration in rural primary education must be situated. Soto Arango and Molina Pacheco (2018) document that attempts to incorporate ICT into the Colombian rural school have confronted precisely the conditions described throughout this

chapter: teachers overburdened with functions and lacking specific pedagogical training in the use of technology, fragile institutional infrastructures incapable of sustaining long-term programmes, and communities that do not recognize in digital proposals a response to their actual educational needs. These experiences do not demonstrate that technology is inappropriate for the rural school, but that its meaningful pedagogical integration requires beginning with a thorough understanding of the context into which it is inserted. ICT do not in themselves transform the structural implications of the rural context for educational processes; they can only contribute to that transformation when integrated as pedagogical mediations within educational projects that have already resolved, at least partially, the baseline conditions this chapter has examined. It is from that understanding that the following chapter addresses the analysis of information and communication technologies in education, not as technical solutions but as cultural and pedagogical mediations whose meaning and scope depend on the educational decisions and territorial conditions that frame them.

Information and Communication Technologies in education: conceptual and pedagogical approaches

The analysis developed in the preceding chapter established that rural primary education in Colombia is configured as a structurally heterogeneous pedagogical field, traversed by historical inequalities in infrastructure, teacher training, school retention, and curricular relevance. Those conditions do not constitute the backdrop of the present chapter but its starting point: any approach to Information and Communication Technologies in the educational sphere that ignores the material and symbolic conditions in which the rural school operates risks reducing itself to a theoretical exercise disconnected from the realities it purports to illuminate.

This chapter sets out to examine ICT not as a neutral set of devices or digital platforms, but as pedagogical and cultural mediations whose educational meaning is constructed at the intersection of didactic decisions, institutional conditions, and specific sociocultural contexts. This position is not an arbitrary choice: it responds to the finding, documented in the specialized literature, that the presence of technology in classrooms does not in itself guarantee transformations in teaching and learning processes, and that, in the absence of clear and contextualized pedagogical frameworks, it may even reinforce pre-existing inequalities and reproduce transmissive logics beneath an appearance of innovation (UNESCO, 2023; Peralta-Roncal et al., 2023).

The interest in conceptualizing ICT in the educational sphere from a critical perspective acquires particular relevance in the Colombian context, where digital education policies have traversed, from the earliest technological equipment programmes to the most recent pedagogical integration guidelines of the (MEN, 2020), a persistent tension between approaches centred on technological access and coverage, and perspectives that recognize the complexity of the

pedagogical appropriation process. This tension is not exclusively local: UNESCO (2012), in its Paris Declaration on Open Educational Resources, already indicated that the availability of digital resources constitutes a necessary but insufficient condition for educational transformation, and that their effective integration demands pedagogical decisions that transcend the logic of provision. A decade later, the World Education Monitoring Report (UNESCO, 2023) reiterated that warning with greater force, documenting that the large-scale incorporation of digital technologies into educational systems has not produced systematic improvements in learning outcomes, and that in numerous contexts it has deepened the gaps between populations with unequal conditions of access and appropriation.

In response to this landscape, the Latin American and Colombian literature has developed approaches that problematise the instrumental use of ICT and propose more complex analytical frameworks. Cardozo Gavilán (2022) cautions that the transformative potential of technologies in educational processes resides not in the devices themselves but in the pedagogical decisions that orient their use: the same tool may promote the active construction of knowledge or reproduce an expository logic depending on the didactic intentionality that articulates it. In the same vein, Peralta-Roncal et al. (2023) indicate that the pedagogical integration of ICT only produces significant formative effects when there is coherence between educational purposes, didactic strategies, and the conditions of the context in which they are implemented, such that the outcomes of technological use are always situated and never universally predictable. For their part, Soto Arango and Molina Pacheco (2018), in examining the implementation of ICT in Colombian rural schools, document that the distance between discourses of technological innovation and everyday pedagogical practices is frequently greater than educational policies

acknowledge, and that this gap is explained less by teacher resistance than by the absence of sustained institutional conditions that would make genuine pedagogical appropriation possible.

This accumulation of evidence invites the construction of a conceptual framework that does not treat ICT as independent variables of educational improvement, but as mediations whose meaning is produced socially, from pedagogical decisions, power relations, and concrete territorial contexts. Carrete-Marín and Domingo-Peñafiel (2023), in analyzing processes of digital transformation in rural schools, introduce a distinction that proves analytically productive for this chapter: the difference between digitalization, understood as the incorporation of devices and platforms into existing school processes, and technology-mediated pedagogical transformation, which implies a reconfiguration of teaching practices, pedagogical relationships, and formative purposes through the intentional and reflective integration of ICT. This distinction, far from being merely conceptual, carries direct consequences for how educational policies are designed, how teachers are trained, and how technological integration programmes are evaluated.

The present chapter is organized into four sections that advance from conceptualization towards problematization. The first examines the definitions and theoretical frameworks that have guided the understanding of ICT in the educational sphere, identifying the principal paradigms in tension. The second analyses the pedagogical approaches that underpin their integration, with particular attention to constructivist and sociocultural perspectives that shift the focus from the artefact to the practice. The third addresses the contemporary debate between the instrumental and the pedagogical use of ICT, situating that discussion within the framework of Colombian educational policies and international diagnostics. The fourth and final section examines the pedagogical implications of ICT use in teaching and learning processes, with attention to the structural conditions that enable or constrain those implications in rural contexts.

This itinerary does not claim to exhaust the complexity of the field, but to construct the analytical foundations that will allow the following chapters to examine, in a situated and critical manner, the specific opportunities and challenges that technology-mediated pedagogies present in Colombian rural primary education.

From a conceptual standpoint, the theoretical framework of the present monograph is constructed in an integrated manner throughout the chapters, drawing on sociocultural and critical approaches to education that make it possible to understand technologies as pedagogical mediations rather than merely as instrumental resources. Within this framework, the central categories of analysis, related to rural primary education, pedagogies mediated by information and communication technologies, pedagogical innovation, inclusion, and educational equity, are developed progressively in the following sections and guide the critical documentary analysis undertaken.

Background of research on rural education and ICT-mediated pedagogies

The existing body of research on rural education and technology-mediated pedagogies in Colombia and Latin America does not constitute a coherent tradition that has progressively refined its understanding of the phenomenon. It constitutes, more precisely, a fragmented field traversed by at least three internal fractures whose persistence reveals not only empirical gaps but a deeper epistemological inconsistency between what the research claims to investigate and the conceptual frameworks from which it actually operates. Identifying those fractures is not a preliminary exercise of literature mapping; it is the analytical act that justifies the present study and defines its specific contribution to a conversation that has been, notwithstanding its volume, insufficiently critical of its own premises.

The first fracture runs between the recognition of rural education's epistemic specificity and the systematic failure to operationalize that recognition in the analytical frameworks deployed to study it. Echavarría et al. (2019) establish this problem with notable precision, arguing that rural education constitutes a pedagogical category with its own identity, determined by specific territorial, sociocultural, and organizational conditions that cannot be understood through urban-centric analytical logics. That argument is, at the level of principle, widely endorsed in the literature. However, Hernández-Silva (2020) exposes its practical betrayal by demonstrating that even the Escuela Nueva model, historically positioned as Colombia's most contextually sensitive pedagogical response to the rural multigrade school, has been progressively standardized in its implementation to the point where its instruments of pedagogical flexibility, originally conceived to adapt to the particularities of each territory, function in practice as pre-structured curricula that reduce the teacher's margin for contextual adaptation. The confrontation between these two studies is theoretically consequential: it reveals that acknowledging rurality's specificity at the level of discourse does not prevent that specificity from being systematically erased at the level of implementation, and that the research field has not yet developed the analytical tools to explain why that erasure reproduces itself across successive generations of pedagogical reform. Abós Olivares (2020) reinforces this diagnostic from a different angle, demonstrating that the heterogeneity of the multigrade classroom is recurrently interpreted as a structural deficit in institutional diagnostics when it constitutes, in pedagogical reality, a condition that potentiates didactic strategies of considerable complexity that homogeneous classroom models cannot produce. The persistence of the deficit framing, notwithstanding the weight of evidence against it, suggests that the field's epistemological

problem is not one of insufficient data but of frameworks that are structurally incapable of perceiving the pedagogical value of what they have already documented.

The second fracture separates studies that analyze the discursive dimension of ICT integration in rural education from those that examine its material pedagogical consequences, with very little productive dialogue between the two. Soto Arango and Molina Pacheco (2018) occupy the critical pole of that separation, documenting that digitalization programmes in Colombian rural schools have operated consistently under a logic that conflates the provision of devices with the construction of pedagogical conditions, producing a systematic gap between institutional narratives of technological modernization and the actual practices observable in rural classrooms, where distributed equipment frequently remains underused not because of teacher resistance but because no access policy devoid of sustained pedagogical accompaniment can produce meaningful integration. Carrete-Marín and Domingo-Peñafiel (2021, 2023), examining the same phenomenon from a more constructive orientation, reach a partially different conclusion: their studies of technological resources in multigrade classrooms and digital transformation in rural schools identify instances in which ICT have supported autonomous learning and didactic diversification when articulated within contextualized pedagogical projects, suggesting that the failure documented by Soto Arango and Molina Pacheco is not the inevitable outcome of ICT integration in rural contexts but the predictable result of a specific modality of that integration, one organized around provision rather than pedagogy. The tension between these positions is productive but underexplored in the literature: rather than placing them in dialogue to determine the conditions under which rural ICT integration transitions from the failure mode to the success mode, the majority of subsequent studies have reproduced one or the other position without interrogating their incompatibility. UNESCO and the OECD (Echazarra &

Radinger, 2019; UNESCO, 2023) provide a comparative framework that implicitly mediates this tension by situating both failure and success as context-dependent outcomes conditioned by the distribution of pedagogical opportunities, teacher training, and institutional support, but their international scope renders them analytically insufficient for explaining the specific mechanisms through which those conditions are produced or obstructed in the Colombian rural primary school.

The third and most analytically significant fracture is the one this monograph directly inhabits: the absence of critical, situated, and methodologically rigorous documentary analyses of technology-mediated pedagogies in Colombian rural primary education that neither reduce the phenomenon to policy evaluation nor dissolve it into universalizing theoretical frameworks imported from urban or Anglophone contexts. The dominant research tradition has produced, on one side, empirical studies of limited generalizability and, on the other, theoretical elaborations of limited contextual pertinence, leaving the intermediate space, where pedagogical theory and territorial specificity are placed in sustained critical dialogue, largely unoccupied. It is from the recognition of that gap, and not merely from the detection of an empirical deficit, that the present study derives its justification.

From my own perspective as a Colombian student completing professional formation in the context this literature describes, what is most striking about the existing research is not what it has failed to observe but what it has observed without drawing the epistemological consequences that the evidence demands. The field has documented, with remarkable consistency and across multiple national contexts, that technology-mediated pedagogies in rural education do not produce their promised effects when implemented under the conditions that rural education actually presents. It has not, with equivalent consistency, interrogated why that

evidence fails to transform the policy logic that continues to generate those conditions. That silence is not incidental; it reflects a structural limitation of a research tradition that has been more committed to describing the phenomenon than to contesting the institutional arrangements that reproduce it. The present study assumes that contesting those arrangements, however modestly, is among the intellectual obligations of any academic work that takes the educational rights of rural communities in Colombia seriously.

Conceptualization of Information and Communication Technologies in the educational field

The conceptualization of Information and Communication Technologies in the educational sphere constitutes a theoretical operation that extends well beyond the description of devices or digital platforms. Defining what ICT are in education necessarily entails taking a position with respect to questions that are not technical but pedagogical and political: what role do they play in teaching and learning processes? Are they neutral tools that automatically improve education, or are they mediations whose meaning is socially constructed? Whom do they benefit, and under what conditions do they produce genuine transformations? These questions do not have unequivocal answers in the literature, and it is precisely that absence of consensus that makes it necessary to make explicit the conceptual framework from which this work approaches them.

From a broad pedagogical perspective, ICT are not reducible to technological artefacts; rather, they encompass resources, environments, and systems that actively intervene in the ways in which knowledge is accessed, didactic interaction is organized, and educational practices are configured. Their educational character resides not in their technical dimension but in the pedagogical intentionality that orients their integration into school contexts. Peralta-Roncal et al.

(2023) specify that ICT acquire formative meaning when they are articulated with curricular purposes and the needs of students, and that it is this articulation, and not the availability of the resource, that determines whether a technology transforms or merely overlays existing educational practices. This distinction is analytically fundamental because it shifts the question from what one has to how and for what purpose it is used, introducing the pedagogical dimension as the central variable in any analysis of ICT in education.

This understanding articulates with the Vygotskian theoretical tradition, in which the notion of mediation occupies a central place. Within that tradition, symbolic and cultural instruments not only facilitate the execution of tasks but transform the structure of the thinking that performs them. Daniels (2022), updating this framework for the contemporary pedagogical context, specifies that digital technologies operate as mediations in the Vygotskian sense only when they are integrated into educational practices that promote the active construction of knowledge and meaningful interaction among subjects. When ICT are limited to functioning as channels for transmitting previously structured content, mediation does not occur: learning is overlaid with a technological appearance without its nature being transformed. This distinction between genuine pedagogical mediation and instrumental use is not semantic; it defines whether technology intervenes in the formative process or merely accompanies it without modifying it.

From a socio-critical perspective, the conceptualization of ICT in education requires recognizing that they are neither pedagogically neutral tools nor universally beneficial ones. Cardozo Gavilán (2022) cautions that their transformative potential depends on specific educational decisions, including what the teacher does with them, how they are articulated within the curriculum, and what kind of student participation they promote. When those decisions are absent or unreflective, technology does not mediate learning but reproduces it in a different

format, leaving intact the transmissive logics it was ostensibly introduced to overcome. This assertion has direct implications for conceptualization: assuming the non-neutrality of ICT compels recognition that their incorporation into educational systems is always a political and pedagogical decision, laden with consequences for who learns, what they learn, and under what conditions they do so.

This political dimension of conceptualization has been emphasized with increasing force by international bodies. The World Education Monitoring Report (UNESCO, 2023) explicitly challenges the technicist vision predominant in many digital education policies, indicating that the introduction of digital technologies into educational systems does not produce automatic improvements in learning outcomes and that, when implemented without a contextualized pedagogical framework, they may reinforce pre-existing social and educational inequalities. This warning is especially relevant to the Colombian context, where technological equipment programmes have frequently operated under a modernization logic that prioritises access and coverage indicators over sustained pedagogical transformation. The MEN (2020), in its guidelines for ICT integration in educational processes, explicitly acknowledges the need to move beyond that instrumental approach and position technologies in the service of educational projects with a clear pedagogical purpose, although the distance between that normative recognition and the concrete practices of implementation continues to be significant in many rural contexts across the country.

A tension that conceptualization cannot afford to ignore is that which exists between the assumptions underlying ICT integration policies and the actual conditions of the territories where those policies are applied. Hoyos-Pipicano and Jaime-Osorio (2025) note that a class may appear innovative because it uses digital devices while nonetheless reproducing an entirely transmissive

logic in which the teacher remains the sole repository of knowledge and the student a passive recipient of now-digitalised content. This observation compels recognition that technological change and pedagogical change are distinct phenomena, and that the former does not guarantee the latter. Conceptualising ICT from this perspective therefore implies renouncing any form of technological determinism and accepting that technology-mediated pedagogical transformation is always the result of an intentional, sustained, and contextualised construction, and never the automatic effect of the incorporation of devices.

In summary, the conceptualization of ICT in the educational sphere adopted in this work is inscribed within a socio-critical approach that understands them as pedagogical and cultural mediations, traversed by power relations, structural conditions, and concrete educational decisions. This perspective makes it possible to move beyond technical reductionism, to situate the analysis within the actual conditions of school contexts, and to lay the foundations for examining, in the sections that follow, the pedagogical approaches, contemporary debates, and specific implications of ICT use in teaching and learning processes in Colombian rural primary education.

Pedagogical approaches for the integration of ICT in education

The specialized literature on the integration of Information and Communication Technologies in educational processes does not offer a unified corpus of principles nor a single pedagogical tradition from which to derive practical orientations with universal validity. On the contrary, it constitutes a field of considerable theoretical tension, in which constructivist, sociocultural, and critical approaches do not merely complement one another as layers of a cumulative understanding, but frequently operate from incompatible premises about what learning is, who produces knowledge, and under what material conditions pedagogical

transformation becomes conceivable. Collapsing those differences into a coherent synthesis, as a significant portion of the educational technology literature tends to do, is not a theoretical advance but an analytical evasion whose practical consequences are especially costly in rural educational contexts where the conditions of implementation diverge radically from those that each framework tacitly presupposes.

The constructivist approach positions ICT as instruments that enhance the active construction of knowledge, privileging processes of autonomous exploration, inquiry, and problem-solving. Peralta-Roncal et al. (2023) indicates that when digital technologies are integrated from a clear pedagogical intentionality, they can expand access to knowledge and diversify didactic strategies, shifting the emphasis from content transmission toward more participatory learning experiences. This argument is theoretically coherent, but it rests on a set of infrastructural and organizational assumptions that the constructivist tradition rarely renders explicit: stable connectivity, individual access to devices, and a classroom configuration in which the teacher can accompany simultaneous processes of autonomous learning without their attention being fractured across grade levels, ages, and learning stages that bear no relation to one another. The Colombian rural multigrade classroom, as Abós Olivares (2020) documents, does not correspond to that description. The single teacher who must simultaneously attend to children in first, third, and fifth grade, with intermittent electricity supply and, in numerous cases, a single functioning device shared among the group, cannot sustain the conditions under which constructivist approaches are pedagogically viable without a degree of institutional support that the literature prescribes but the territory does not provide. Constructivism's promise in rural ICT integration is therefore real but suspended, contingent on conditions whose absence the approach itself has no theoretical resources to address.

The sociocultural framework, derived from the Vygotskian tradition, offers a partial but significant corrective to that limitation. Within this tradition, as Daniels (2022) specifies, digital technologies acquire pedagogical meaning only when integrated into practices that promote the active construction of knowledge and meaningful interaction among subjects, repositioning the teacher not as a transmitter of content but as a cultural mediator who organizes the conditions under which learning becomes possible. This reformulation is more compatible with the structural realities of the rural multigrade classroom than the constructivist model, precisely because it does not presuppose learner autonomy as a baseline condition but instead foregrounds the mediating function of the teacher as the axis around which pedagogical value is produced. Cardozo Gavilán (2022) reinforces this argument by demonstrating that the transformative potential of ICT depends fundamentally on specific educational decisions that teacher does with the technology, how it is articulated within the curriculum, and what kind of participation it promotes rather than on the technical sophistication of the resource itself. Nevertheless, the sociocultural approach confronts its own critical limitation when transplanted to Colombian rural contexts: the cultural tools that it identifies as mediators of learning are not culturally neutral, and when those tools are constituted by digital platforms, educational applications, and curricular content produced from urban assumptions, their incorporation into the rural multigrade classroom may mediate not the knowledge of the territory but the erasure of it. Echavarría et al. (2019) make this point with precision, demonstrating that the imposition of urban curricular frameworks upon rural educational processes is not merely a problem of pedagogical relevance but an exercise of epistemic power that renders locally valid knowledge systems invisible. The sociocultural framework, in this sense, is only emancipatory in rural education insofar as the cultural tools it proposes as mediators emerge from or engage in genuine dialogue with the

epistemic traditions of the territory, a condition that neither pedagogical theory nor institutional policy has yet resolved.

The critical approach, endorsed most forcefully by UNESCO (2023) in its interrogation of technicist discourses that present ICT as autonomous agents of educational improvement, situates this problem within a broader framework of structural inequity. Its central argument is that the integration of technology into educational systems is never pedagogically neutral but is always a political decision whose consequences are distributed unequally across populations with differential conditions of access, training, and institutional support. This argument challenges not only the constructivist assumption of available infrastructure but also the sociocultural premise that the cultural tools of learning are selected on pedagogical grounds rather than on grounds of institutional power and policy efficiency. Soto Arango and Molina Pacheco (2018) document the material expression of that power in Colombian rural schools, showing that digitalization programmes have consistently privileged indicators of equipment provision and coverage over the pedagogical conditions that would determine whether distributed resources produce genuine learning. The critical approach thus introduces an element that neither constructivism nor sociocultural theory can accommodate within their own theoretical frameworks: the recognition that the structural conditions which constrain pedagogy are not external variables to be managed but political realities to be contested, and that any pedagogical approach that does not engage with that contestation risks becoming an instrument of legitimation of the very inequalities it purports to address.

From the epistemological position that orients this study, none of these three approaches can be adopted in isolation without theoretical dishonesty. Constructivism offers a compelling vision of active learning whose conditions of possibility are systematically absent from

Colombian rural primary education. The sociocultural framework provides a more realistic account of the teacher's mediating role but requires a critical extension that it does not itself supply, one that recognizes that the cultural tools of digital mediation carry their own political histories and epistemic hierarchies. The critical approach supplies the structural and political dimension that both preceding frameworks lack but does not, by itself, generate the didactic orientations that rural teachers can translate into everyday pedagogical practice. What the Colombian rural multigrade school requires is not the synthesis of these frameworks into a coherent master theory, but the intellectual honesty to acknowledge their respective limitations and to construct, from that acknowledgment, context-sensitive pedagogical proposals that begin not from theoretical elegance but from the actual conditions of the classroom: its heterogeneity of levels, its fragile infrastructure, its community embeddedness, and the irreplaceable mediating figure of the teacher who navigates all of those conditions simultaneously, frequently without the institutional support that any of the three frameworks would consider indispensable.

From instrumental to pedagogical: contemporary debates on the educational use of ICT

The contemporary debate on the educational use of Information and Communication Technologies is articulated around the distinction between an instrumental and a pedagogical use of technology, a differentiation that proves central to understanding the tensions traversing processes of educational digitalisation. This discussion is not confined to a technical controversy; rather, it involves pedagogical, political, and institutional dimensions that determine the meaning and educational effects of ICT incorporation. Within this framework, the present section problematises the widely circulated notion, prevalent in innovation discourses, that any technological integration automatically entails an improvement in teaching and learning processes.

The instrumental use of ICT is characterised by conceiving technology as a support tool for traditional pedagogical practices, without questioning the underlying educational models. From this perspective, ICT are typically employed to reinforce content transmission, through digital presentations, automated exercise platforms, or resources that reproduce expository logics, leaving conventional pedagogical relationships intact. This approach, centred on the device, access, or technological coverage, has been predominant in many public digital education policies, insofar as it responds to agendas of modernisation, efficiency, and standardisation of the educational system. In this sense, the predominance of instrumental use cannot be attributed solely to individual decisions made by teachers, but is inscribed within institutional and political frameworks that prioritise infrastructure and access indicators over deep pedagogical transformation (MEN, 2020).

By contrast, the pedagogical use of ICT entails an intentional and reflective integration of technology in accordance with clearly defined educational objectives. From this approach, ICT are articulated with constructivist and sociocultural frameworks that conceive learning as an active, situated, and socially mediated process, promoting student participation in the construction of knowledge and redefining the teacher's role as a pedagogical mediator. Peralta-Roncal et al. (2023) argue that ICT only acquire transformative potential when they are integrated from an explicit pedagogical intentionality, oriented towards the design of meaningful learning experiences that are coherent with the curriculum, thereby transcending their merely instrumental use. This position emphasises that the difference between both uses resides not in the technology itself but in the pedagogical approaches that orient its incorporation.

From a socio-critical perspective, this contrast between instrumental and pedagogical use highlights that ICT are not neutral tools but cultural mediations traversed by power relations and

educational decisions. Cardozo Gavilán (2022) cautions that assuming technological neutrality renders invisible the effects that particular integration strategies have on educational practices and learning experiences, reinforcing traditional pedagogical models beneath a rhetoric of innovation. In this sense, the instrumental use of ICT may become a mechanism for the perpetuation of transmissive practices, while the pedagogical use raises the need to transform the logics of teaching, learning, and assessment.

The debate between both positions intensifies when the tensions between educational innovation discourses and the actual conditions of implementation are taken into account. Various studies indicate that pedagogical proposals grounded in the critical use of ICT face limitations associated with the heterogeneity of educational contexts, school organisation, and institutional conditions. Soto Arango and Molina Pacheco (2018) demonstrate that pedagogical practices unfold in diverse and unequal settings, which hinders the uniform adoption of innovative pedagogical approaches and reinforces the need for flexible, contextualised strategies. This situation accentuates the gap between the discourse of educational transformation and everyday practices in schools.

Likewise, the tension between technological access and pedagogical appropriation constitutes one of the central nodes of the contemporary debate. Although the expansion of infrastructure and connectivity has been presented as a sufficient condition for educational innovation, the literature emphasises that the meaningful integration of ICT depends on its articulation with the curriculum and the socioterritorial context. Echavarría et al. (2019) underscore that education is a situated process, and that technological mediations therefore acquire different meanings according to the cultural and social conditions in which they are

implemented. From this standpoint, an exclusive emphasis on access tends to homogenise heterogeneous educational realities and to neglect the specific needs of particular territories.

Critiques of educational Techno solutionism have been widely endorsed by international bodies, which question the assumption that technology can resolve the structural problems of education on its own. The World Education Monitoring Report (UNESCO, 2023) warns that the incorporation of digital technologies without a clear and contextualised pedagogical framework not only limits their educational impact but may deepen existing inequalities and reinforce models of standardisation. This perspective emphasises that opting for a pedagogical use of ICT implies recognising the political dimension of educational decisions, as well as the need for teacher training, professional autonomy, and consideration of territorial contexts.

In this sense, the transition from instrumental to pedagogical use of ICT does not constitute merely a conceptual debate but a practical challenge with profound educational implications. Overcoming instrumental approaches demands rethinking the practices of teaching, learning, assessment, and school participation, positioning technology in the service of educational projects with pedagogical and social purpose. These implications will be addressed in the following section, which examines in greater depth the concrete effects of ICT use on teaching and learning processes.

Pedagogical implications of the use of ICT in teaching and learning processes

The use of Information and Communication Technologies in educational processes entails pedagogical effects that extend well beyond the incorporation of digital resources into the classroom, and that are expressed in transformations in the ways of teaching, learning, and assessing. From a pedagogical perspective, ICT acquire educational value to the extent that they act as mediations that influence the organisation of the formative process, the construction of

knowledge, and the relationships between teachers and students. Their impact therefore depends not on the technology itself but on the pedagogical intentionality and educational decisions that orient its integration in specific school contexts (Cardozo Gavilán, 2022).

One of the most significant pedagogical implications of ICT use is observed in the redefinition of the teacher's role. The specialised literature indicates that the pedagogical integration of technology demands a teaching staff capable of assuming functions of mediation, accompaniment, and didactic design, moving beyond models centred on the transmission of information. According to Cardozo Gavilán (2022), the teacher is constituted as a mediator of learning, responsible for selecting and adapting technological resources in accordance with formative objectives and the characteristics of the student body, thereby enabling learning experiences in which ICT support reflection, interaction, and the active construction of knowledge.

From the perspective of learning, the pedagogical use of ICT may promote more participatory and autonomous practices, broadening access to information and diversifying didactic strategies. However, these effects do not occur automatically or universally. Peralta-Roncal et al. (2023) caution that the pedagogical impact of technologies depends on specific conditions such as curricular coherence, the clarity of educational purposes, and appropriateness to the teaching contexts; consequently, when these conditions are not met, ICT tend to be used to reinforce traditional practices without generating substantive changes in learning outcomes.

The pedagogical implications of ICT use are also made visible in the processes of learning assessment. Technological mediation makes possible the development of formative assessment strategies oriented towards the collection of learning evidence, allowing for the evaluation not only of final outcomes but of processes, competencies, and student performances.

Studies on learning evidence in ICT-mediated educational practices show that these tools facilitate continuous feedback, promote the self-regulation of learning, and diversify the ways in which students may demonstrate their knowledge, provided that their use is guided by clear pedagogical criteria.

Likewise, the pedagogical use of ICT influences the transformation of didactic practices and the dynamics of interaction in the classroom. Research on technology-mediated pedagogical practice demonstrates that ICT can support more active methodologies, strengthen student participation, and promote collaborative learning, while simultaneously reconfiguring the pedagogical relationship between teachers and students. In the field of language teaching, for example, ICT-mediated pedagogical practice in English has shown that technology can broaden didactic repertoires and facilitate more contextualised learning, provided that reflective pedagogical planning is in place to prevent improvised or instrumental uses.

Nevertheless, these pedagogical implications must be analysed in light of the structural and contextual conditions within which ICT are integrated. In rural contexts, the possibilities for pedagogical transformation are conditioned by inequalities in access to infrastructure, connectivity, teacher training, and institutional support. Studies on the impact of ICT in rural education highlight that, when these conditions are not guaranteed, technology may limit its pedagogical reach and contribute to the widening of educational gaps between rural and urban settings.

From a broader critical perspective, international bodies have cautioned against Technosolutionist approaches that attribute to technology the capacity to resolve educational problems on its own. The World Education Monitoring Report (UNESCO, 2023) underscores that the incorporation of digital technologies without a contextualised pedagogical framework

does not ensure improvements in teaching and learning processes and may even reinforce traditional practices and existing inequalities. This perspective invites an understanding of the pedagogical implications of ICT as the result of conscious, ethically oriented, and contextually situated educational decisions.

Taken together, the pedagogical implications of ICT use in teaching and learning processes manifest themselves in transformations that involve the teacher's role, didactic practices, learning and assessment processes, as well as the structural conditions that enable or constrain their educational appropriation. ICT do not constitute a neutral or universal resource but pedagogical mediations whose meaning and scope depend on educational intentionality, socioterritorial contexts, and the pedagogical decisions that orient their use. This understanding is fundamental to advancing towards situated analyses of ICT use in rural education, where pedagogical implications acquire specific meanings in dialogue with the realities and inequalities of the territory.

Technology-mediated pedagogies: theoretical and pedagogical approaches

Technology-mediated pedagogies belong to a field of analysis that shifts the focus from technology as an instrumental resource towards an understanding of the pedagogical processes that emerge from its integration into educational contexts. From this perspective, the relationship between technology and education is not defined by the presence of digital tools, but by the ways in which these are articulated with teaching practices, formative purposes, and the sociocultural contexts in which learning processes unfold. In this sense, technology-mediated pedagogies may be understood as educational orientations that recognise ICT as pedagogical mediations, whose meaning is constructed from the didactic decisions and educational intentionalities that orient their use (Cardozo Gavilán, 2022).

From a pedagogical standpoint, Cardozo Gavilán (2022) argues that ICT acquire educational meaning when they are integrated into formative practices that promote the active construction of knowledge, meaningful interaction, and teacher mediation. This understanding makes it possible to situate technology-mediated pedagogies as intentional processes, in which technology does not operate autonomously or neutrally but as part of a pedagogical fabric that involves the teacher, the student body, and the curriculum. The centrality thus shifts from the device to the educational action, emphasising the teacher's role as a pedagogical mediator and designer of contextualised learning experiences.

This argument articulates with sociopedagogical approaches that underscore the importance of the educational conditions that accompany technological mediation. Peralta-Roncal et al. (2023) indicate that technology-mediated pedagogies are configured from the coherence between formative objectives, didactic approaches, and the institutional conditions in which ICT are implemented. From this standpoint, technology can expand pedagogical

possibilities provided it is integrated in a conscious and contextualised manner, avoiding interpretations that attribute to it an automatic educational impact independent of pedagogical practices.

The understanding of technology-mediated pedagogies is further enriched when its cultural and socially situated character is taken into account. Studies on technology normalisation in educational contexts show that technological mediation is constructed progressively in the daily life of the school, through processes of appropriation and resignification by teachers and students. From this perspective, technology is incorporated into pedagogical practice as part of school culture, influencing the ways of teaching and learning without necessarily constituting a disruptive innovation, but rather as an element integrated into the habitual dynamics of the classroom (Hoyos-Pipicano & Jaime-Osorio, 2025).

Likewise, approaches that address technology-mediated pedagogical transformation highlight that these medications can contribute to the reconfiguration of educational practices when they are embedded in coherent and sustained pedagogical projects. Pedagogical transformation is not associated solely with the use of technology, but with the revision of methodologies, pedagogical relationships, and the meanings attributed to learning. Within this framework, technology-mediated pedagogies are understood as educational processes that seek to articulate pedagogical innovation with critical reflection on teaching practices and the purposes of education.

From a critical perspective of institutional and global scope, international bodies have emphasised the need to delimit conceptually technology-mediated pedagogies in order to avoid technical reductionism. The World Education Monitoring Report (UNESCO,2023) cautions that the incorporation of digital technologies in education does not in itself guarantee improvements

in learning outcomes, and that their use detached from clear pedagogical frameworks may reinforce traditional practices and deepen inequalities. This understanding reinforces the idea that technology-mediated pedagogies must be guided by principles of equity, relevance, and social justice, recognising the political and educational dimension of technological decisions.

Taken together, the conceptual approaches to technology-mediated pedagogies converge on an understanding that situates technological mediation as an intentional, contextualised, and socially conditioned pedagogical process. These pedagogies do not constitute a homogeneous or universal model, but are configured at the intersection of educational practices, socioterritorial contexts, and concrete pedagogical decisions. Adopting this perspective makes it possible to understand that technology, in the educational sphere, acts as a mediation in the service of formative processes rather than as an end in itself, laying the foundations for the analysis of the theoretical frameworks and pedagogical principles that orient its integration into educational processes.

Conceptual approaches to technology-mediated pedagogies

The conceptualisation of technology-mediated pedagogies does not respond to a unified theoretical corpus nor to a stable definition in the specialised literature. On the contrary, it constitutes a field in permanent tension, traversed by epistemological disputes over the place that technology occupies in teaching and learning processes: is technology a means, a condition, or a transformative agent of pedagogical practice? This question is not rhetorical; it defines the frameworks from which educational policies are designed, teachers are trained, and experiences of technological integration are evaluated, particularly in rural contexts where structural conditions reconfigure the scope and meaning of such approaches.

A first conceptual current understands technology as an instrumental tool in the service of previously defined pedagogical objectives. From this perspective, technological mediation does not alter the nature of the educational act but extends its efficiency and coverage. The MEN (2020), in its ICT integration guidelines, operates predominantly from this logic: technology is incorporated to optimise existing processes, including communication, access to content, and formative assessment, without this entailing a revision of the underlying pedagogical models. This position has an undeniable operational advantage in public policy contexts: it facilitates phased implementation and the measurement of results. However, its epistemological limitation is equally clear: it reduces technology to a support mechanism and neglects the ways in which it transforms the relationships among subjects, bodies of knowledge, and learning environments.

In contrast to that instrumental vision, authors such as Hoyos Pipicano et al. (2025) propose a more structural reading of the phenomenon, arguing that technology does not simply mediate education but generates conditions for deep pedagogical change. Along these lines, technological mediation implies a reconfiguration of the roles of teacher and student, of the times and spaces of learning, and of the legitimate forms of knowledge production and validation. This perspective is more demanding conceptually, but also more candid about what occurs when technology is integrated in a sustained manner into an educational institution: not everything remains the same. Garcés-Prettel et al. (2014) reinforce this position by documenting processes of ICT-mediated pedagogical transformation in which teachers not only use digital tools but reorganise their practices of planning, assessment, and interaction with students.

A third conceptual approach, perhaps the most relevant for the purposes of this monograph, is one that links technology-mediated pedagogies with specific contextual conditions. Peralta-Roncal et al. (2023) argues that pedagogical approaches to technological

integration cannot be dissociated from the material, institutional, and cultural conditions in which they operate. Stated differently: there is no universally applicable technology-mediated pedagogy; there exists instead a range of approaches whose pertinence depends on context. This position has direct implications for rural education, where conditions of connectivity, teacher training, infrastructure, and school culture differ substantially from the urban environments for which the greater part of the dominant conceptual framework is designed.

This tension between conceptual universalism and contextual pertinence is precisely what Hoyos-Pipicano et al. (2025) identify in their study of technology normalisation processes in Colombian rural public schools. Their findings suggest that rural teachers not only adapt technological tools to their contexts but construct their own narratives about the pedagogical meaning of such integration, narratives that frequently diverge from the theoretical frameworks elaborated in academic or urban settings. This raises a foundational epistemological question: from where is knowledge about technology-mediated pedagogies in Colombia constructed? Who are the authorised subjects to theorise about these practices?

UNESCO (2023), for its part, offers a global framework that attempts to articulate both poles of the debate. Its monitoring report on technology in education recognises that technology-mediated pedagogical approaches must balance technical effectiveness with educational equity, and that this balance is especially fragile in contexts of high socioeducational vulnerability. In this sense, the pedagogical dimension cannot be subordinated to the technological dimension: the introduction of devices or platforms without deliberate pedagogical scaffolding tends to reproduce, if not to deepen, existing inequalities.

Cardozo Gavilán (2022) contributes relevant empirical evidence by analysing the use of ICT in the early cycles of basic education, demonstrating that the effectiveness of technology-

mediated pedagogies is conditioned by the teacher's capacity to integrate the technological resource within a coherent didactic sequence, and not simply by the availability of the resource. This finding, apparently obvious, carries profound consequences for teacher training and for the design of technological provision policies: equipping schools without providing pedagogical training is, at best, an incomplete investment.

Carrete Marín and Domingo Peñafiel (2023) add an additional dimension by situating technology-mediated pedagogies within the paradigm of digital transformation and open education. From this perspective, technological mediation not only transforms the internal pedagogical practices of the school but reconfigures its relationship with the surrounding environment: with families, with the community, with other educational institutions, and with global repositories of knowledge. In the rural context, this openness may represent both an opportunity for enrichment and a risk of cultural decontextualisation if it is not managed with sound pedagogical judgement.

Forero Quiroga (2013) and Coll Salvador et al. (2023) note that the evidence on learning in ICT-mediated educational practices remains fragmentary and methodologically heterogeneous in the Colombian context, which hinders the consolidation of a robust conceptual approach with a sufficient local empirical base. This shortcoming is not negligible: it implies that a significant proportion of the conceptual frameworks circulating in teacher training and curricular design in Colombia have been imported from contexts with very different conditions, and their validity in national rural settings remains, to a considerable extent, an unverified hypothesis.

From the epistemological positioning that orients this monograph, it is assumed that technology-mediated pedagogies do not constitute a set of neutral techniques nor a finished paradigm, but a field under construction whose conceptual legitimacy must be earned in dialogue

with the specific realities of the territories in which it is deployed. In the case of Colombian rural education, this means that any conceptual approach that purports to articulate technology and pedagogy must begin by recognising the particularities of the multigrade classroom, the scarcity of resources, geographic distance, and above all, the rural teacher as an active epistemic subject rather than a mere recipient of innovations designed from the outside.

Theoretical foundations of technology-mediated pedagogies

Every pedagogical approach rest upon assumptions about how subjects learn, what role the mediator plays in that process, and under what conditions knowledge is constructed in a meaningful way. Technology-mediated pedagogies are not exempt from this epistemological requirement; on the contrary, they intensify it, because the introduction of technology into the educational act compels a revision of theoretical categories elaborated in its absence or in only incipient dialogue with its possibilities. Identifying these foundations is not a merely academic exercise: it is a condition for distinguishing pedagogically grounded technological integration from the simple instrumental adoption of digital tools, a distinction that is especially critical in rural educational contexts where resources are scarce and pedagogical decisions carry structural consequences that are difficult to reverse.

The unavoidable starting point is the constructivist tradition and its sociocultural and connectivist derivations. Although none of these currents was born as a theory of technology-mediated learning, all three have been appropriated and reworked to account for what occurs when the teaching and learning process incorporates digital environments in a sustained manner. Forero Quiroga (2013) explicitly situates technology-mediated pedagogies within this broad theoretical horizon, arguing that technology acquires pedagogical meaning only when it operates as scaffolding for processes of active knowledge construction, and not as a substitute for human

interaction nor as a passive repository of content. This theoretical distinction ultimately defines the difference between a technology-mediated pedagogy and an uncritical digitalisation of traditional teaching, a difference that is not always visible in educational policy documents but proves decisive in classroom practice.

From the sociocultural perspective, mediation as the central category of Vygotskian theory encounters in technology a new type of mediating artefact whose specificity cannot be reduced to that of preceding instruments. Hoyos-Pipicano et al. (2025) develop this articulation by proposing that technology not only mediates the relationship between the subject and the object of knowledge, but transforms the very nature of that relationship: the times of processing, the forms of representation, the modes of interaction, and the criteria for the validation of knowledge are all modified when technological mediation becomes structural rather than merely occasional. This reading carries far-reaching theoretical consequences because it implies that inserting technology into an existing didactic sequence is insufficient; it is necessary to rethink didactics from its foundations when the technological environment substantially reconfigures the conditions of learning. To disregard this requirement leads to what might be termed an illusion of innovation: the appearance of pedagogical change produced by the presence of digital devices without any real transformation of teaching practices.

Forero Quiroga (2013) deepens this line of analysis by documenting how processes of ICT-mediated pedagogical transformation involve a reconfiguration of teachers' conceptions of learning, assessment, and epistemic authority in the classroom. This finding carries precise theoretical implications: it suggests that the foundations of technology-mediated learning operate not only at the level of instructional design but at the deeper level of the pedagogical beliefs that structure teaching practice. From this perspective, theory is not merely an external reference

framework that the teacher consults; it is an internalised cognitive structure that filters, selects, and interprets the possibilities that technology offers. Changing the technology without transforming those underlying theoretical conceptions produces, at best, superficial innovation that dissipates as soon as the institutional incentive that promoted it disappears.

Peralta-Roncal et al. (2023) contributes a critical reading of the conditions under which the theoretical foundations of technological integration do or do not succeed in translating into real transformations of practice. Their central argument is that there exists a systematic gap between the level of theoretical elaboration available in the specialised literature and the institutional and formative conditions in which teachers operate on a daily basis. This gap is neither accidental nor circumstantial: it reflects a structural tension between the production of pedagogical theory, concentrated predominantly in universities and research centres with privileged access to technology and international academic communities, and actual educational practice, which unfolds under conditions of scarcity, heterogeneity, and high adaptive demand. For Colombian rural education, this tension acquires an additional dimension, because the dominant theoretical frameworks were constructed largely from and for urban contexts with consolidated infrastructure, which limits their explanatory and prescriptive capacity when transposed to territories with radically different conditions.

UNESCO (2023) offers an integrative framework that attempts to articulate the cognitive, social, and institutional dimensions of technology-mediated learning, recognising that no single theory of learning is sufficient to account for the complexity of the phenomenon. Its proposal requires a multilevel perspective that connects individual learning processes with the systemic conditions that enable or constrain them, a perspective that is especially pertinent for contexts such as rural Colombia, where structural factors carry as much weight over educational outcomes

as properly pedagogical ones. This theoretical position challenges reductionist readings that seek to explain the success or failure of technological integration solely through pedagogical variables or solely through infrastructure variables, when in reality both dimensions condition one another in ways that only a theory of systemic scope can capture.

The MEN (2020) translate these theoretical foundations partially to the level of public policy through its ICT integration guidelines. However, Carrete-Marín and Domingo-Peñafiel (2023) caution that the transposition of theoretical frameworks to the normative level frequently involves a simplification that can obscure the most productive conceptual tensions. Policy documents tend to present technological integration as a linear and cumulative process in which more technology equates to better education, when the available theoretical and empirical evidence shows that the relationship is non-linear, deeply conditioned, and highly dependent on factors that technological provision policies rarely control. This simplification is not innocuous: it orients public investment towards infrastructure and devices, relegating to a secondary plane the theoretical and pedagogical training of teachers, which ultimately determines whether that investment produces real learning or remains as underutilised technological capital.

Hoyos-Pipicano and Jaime-Osorio (2025) introduce a theoretical category of particular relevance with which to close this section: technology normalisation, understood as the process through which technology ceases to be perceived as an extraordinary or disruptive element and comes to be integrated naturally into the everyday flow of pedagogical practice. This category, originally developed in Anglophone contexts with consolidated conditions of technological access, acquires a radically different meaning in the Colombian rural school, where access conditions remain so unequal that speaking of normalisation is, for many teachers and students, more a legitimate aspiration than an attainable reality. This observation does not invalidate the

category but enriches and problematises it, serving as a reminder that the theoretical foundations of technology-mediated pedagogies must always be read in relation to the material and historical conditions in which they purport to operate, and that a theory which ignores those conditions does not explain the reality it claims to comprehend but substitutes for it an idealised version.

In summary, the theoretical foundations of technology-mediated pedagogies configure a heterogeneous field in which constructivist traditions, sociocultural approaches, institutional normative frameworks, and emergent categories arising from research in specific contexts converge and frequently collide. To assume this heterogeneity critically is, from the epistemological standpoint that orients this monograph, more honest and more fertile than claiming a theoretical coherence that the literature does not sustain. In the context of rural education, where implementation conditions permanently challenge the assumptions of dominant theoretical frameworks, the solidity of foundations is measured not by their conceptual elegance but by their capacity to illuminate and to be transformed by the concrete realities of the classroom.

Pedagogical principles of technological mediation in educational processes

To speak of pedagogical principles in the context of technological mediation is not to enumerate desirable qualities that any responsible teacher ought to observe, but to interrogate the conditions under which mediation ceases to be a technical gesture and becomes a genuinely formative act. That interrogation is not resolved by consensus in the specialized literature; it is, on the contrary, constituted by a set of productive tensions between positions that agree on the insufficiency of the instrumental approach but diverge, sometimes sharply, on what must replace it and who bears the responsibility for that replacement. Three of those tensions prove especially consequential for the Colombian rural primary school, and it is through them, rather than

alongside them, that the principles governing technology-mediated pedagogy must be understood.

The first and most fundamental tension is that between didactic intentionality and institutional prescription. Hoyos-Pipicano et al. (2025) argue that technological mediation only acquires pedagogical value when it is subordinated to an explicit and deliberate educational intention: the teacher must not only know what tool is being used, but why it is being used, what specific learning it is intended to facilitate, and how its occurrence will be assessed. That argument places the locus of pedagogical responsibility unambiguously with the individual teacher, whose reflective capacity constitutes the irreplaceable condition of meaningful mediation. The Ministerio de Educación Nacional (2020), however, approaches the same problem from an almost opposite direction, prescribing a principle of gradualness and progressive integration through which technological mediation is introduced in a phased and institutionally regulated manner, implying that intentionality is something to be cultivated progressively through policy-guided processes rather than exercised from the outset as a condition of legitimate practice. Carrete-Marín and Domingo-Peñafiel (2021) expose the practical irresolvability of that institutional logic in rural multigrade classrooms, where the pedagogical needs of students at different grade levels and stages of cognitive development do not pause to accommodate the training cycles that policy prescribes. The consequence of that irresolvability is theoretically significant: it reveals that intentionality and gradualness, far from being complementary principles that reinforce one another, may operate as competing demands whose simultaneous satisfaction is structurally impossible in contexts where the teacher faces immediate and complex pedagogical needs without the institutional support that gradual integration assumes as its baseline condition. A pedagogy of technological mediation that does

not resolve this tension honestly is not a pedagogy but a normative aspiration dressed in the language of principle.

The second tension operates between the principle of coherence, which Peralta-Roncal et al. (2023) define as the necessary alignment between the declared pedagogical model of an institution and the technological tools it actually employs, and the principle of contextual pertinence, which Coll Salvador et al. (2023) situate at the intersection of institutional, cultural, and geographic conditions. These two principles are frequently presented in the literature as mutually reinforcing, as though an institution that attains internal coherence between its constructivist declarations and its digital practices would automatically produce contextually pertinent learning. That assumption does not withstand scrutiny. A rural multigrade school that achieves full internal coherence between a constructivist pedagogical framework and the digital resources available to it may nonetheless produce an educational experience that is contextually impertinent if both the framework and the resources were designed from urban assumptions about stable connectivity, individual device access, and a curricular content that does not correspond to the productive, cultural, or territorial realities of the community the school serves. Cardozo Gavilán (2022) introduces an additional dimension that complicates this further, demonstrating that the pedagogical effectiveness of technological resources in the early cycles of basic education depends not only on contextual pertinence in the environmental sense, but also on developmental pertinence: the same resource may be contextually appropriate yet cognitively ill-suited to the learning stage of the students expected to use it. What emerges from placing these two principles in genuine dialogue, rather than listing them as compatible orientations, is the recognition that coherence and pertinence are not achieved by the same interventions nor guaranteed by the same conditions, and that a technology-mediated pedagogy that optimizes for

one at the expense of the other produces a characteristic failure mode in each direction: internal coherence without territorial rootedness generates what might be termed an aesthetically consistent but socially irrelevant pedagogical proposal, while contextual pertinence without internal coherence generates a set of locally sensitive practices that lack the theoretical architecture necessary to sustain or justify their own development.

The third and most politically charged tension is that between the activation of the learning subject and the structural requirement of equity as a prior condition of pedagogical possibility. Forero Quiroga (2013) argues that technological mediation is pedagogically legitimate to the extent that it increases the student's agency over their own learning process, expanding their possibilities for choice, production, and self-regulation, a formulation that positions the activation of student subjectivity as the ultimate criterion by which any principle of technological mediation must be evaluated. UNESCO (2023), however, introduces an objection that fundamentally destabilizes that formulation: none of the principles associated with technology-mediated pedagogy, including student activation, can be fully realised under conditions of structural inequality in access to technology, and a pedagogy of mediation that ignores the equity dimension reproduces and amplifies existing educational gaps rather than contributing to their reduction. The confrontation between these two positions reveals a foundational epistemological disagreement about the sequence in which pedagogical transformation becomes conceivable. Forero Quiroga's argument implies that the activation of the learning subject is a pedagogical decision that teachers can make within the constraints of their existing conditions, even imperfect ones. UNESCO's argument implies that without prior equity in the distribution of the conditions that make learning possible, the pedagogical decision to activate student agency is structurally undermined before it is ever made. In the Colombian

rural context, where, as Cruz-Carbonell et al. (2020) document, the digital divide between urban and rural basic education remains pronounced and resistant to the optimistic projections of policy discourse, that epistemological disagreement is not an abstract theoretical matter but a practical question whose resolution determines whether technology-mediated pedagogy in those territories functions as an instrument of educational justice or as an additional mechanism through which existing inequalities are reproduced beneath a rhetoric of activation and empowerment.

From my perspective as a Colombian student who has engaged with these arguments in relation to a national educational reality I have inhabited, the most honest conclusion is that these three tensions cannot be resolved by choosing one pole over the other, nor by synthesizing them into a higher-order principle that dissolves their incompatibility. What they demand, rather, is a sustained critical awareness that the principles governing technology-mediated pedagogy are not neutral technical orientations but politically situated claims whose applicability in the Colombian rural primary school depends on conditions that neither the theory nor the policy has yet constructed with consistency or equity. To adopt these principles unreflectively, without acknowledging the structural conditions that systematically obstruct their realization, is to participate, however involuntarily, in the reproduction of the very technosolutionist logic that the critical literature has spent two decades attempting to dismantle. The rural teacher who works daily within those contradictions deserves better than principles that presuppose the conditions they require.

Scope and limitations of technology-mediated pedagogies in the educational field

Every pedagogical proposal has a scope defined by its conditions of possibility and limitations determined by the assumptions it fails to resolve. Technology-mediated pedagogies are not an exception to this rule, although the literature that promotes them frequently tends to

emphasise their potential while underrepresenting their structural constraints. A theoretically honest analysis therefore requires examining both dimensions with equal rigour: what these pedagogies can achieve under favourable conditions and what they cannot achieve, or achieve poorly, even when those conditions are met. This dual perspective is not an exercise in scepticism but a requirement of intellectual responsibility in the face of educational contexts where pedagogical decisions carry real consequences for historically vulnerable populations.

With regard to their scope, technology-mediated pedagogies have demonstrated a significant capacity to broaden access to quality educational resources in contexts where geographic distance, the scarcity of specialised teachers, or the limitation of printed materials constituted structural barriers to learning. Hoyos-Pipicano et al. (2025) document how technological mediation has enabled, in certain contexts, students in situations of geographic isolation to access learning experiences that would otherwise have been inaccessible, thereby expanding the horizon of educational possibilities beyond what the immediate environment could offer. This scope is real and should not be minimised; it represents a qualitative transformation in the conditions of educability of populations that have historically been penalised by their territorial location.

UNESCO (2023) broadens this reading by noting that technology-mediated pedagogies also carry significant scope in the dimension of personalised learning, understood as the capacity of digital environments to adapt to the individual rhythms, styles, and performance levels of students in ways that traditional collective instruction can rarely achieve. In rural multigrade classroom contexts, where a single teacher simultaneously attends to students of different grades and ages, this capacity for personalisation is not a pedagogical luxury but a structural necessity

whose satisfaction can make the difference between an effective learning process and one that systematically excludes those who do not conform to the average pace of group instruction.

Cardozo Gavilán (2022) contributes empirical evidence that nuances and specifies this scope: the personalisation offered by technological tools does not operate automatically or independently of teacher mediation. The findings show that the students who derive the greatest benefit from digital learning environments are those whose teachers have developed pedagogical competencies to accompany, guide, and interpret the process that technology facilitates, not simply to activate it. This finding shifts the scope from the technology to the pedagogical relationship that encompasses it, and suggests that the true potential of technology-mediated pedagogies resides not in the tools themselves but in the quality of the teaching practice that sustains them.

Coll Salvador et al. (2023) reinforce this perspective by showing that the most robust learning evidence in ICT-mediated educational practices corresponds invariably to contexts in which there exists a deliberate articulation between the technological resource, the learning objective, and the assessment process, an articulation that requires a level of pedagogical planning that cannot be taken for granted in any educational context and that proves especially demanding in settings characterised by high teacher workloads and limited time for lesson preparation. This finding delineates with precision the actual scope of these pedagogies: they are effective when well designed, well implemented, and well supported, conditions that are the exception rather than the norm across most Latin American educational systems.

The examination of limitations requires equal or greater rigour. The first and most structural of these is the access gap, which operates simultaneously at multiple levels: access to devices, access to connectivity, access to pertinent content, and access to the training necessary

to use available resources pedagogically. Carrete-Marín and Domingo-Peñafiel (2023) caution that digital transformation in education has tended to advance at a pace that exceeds the capacity of educational systems to manage that transition equitably, generating a paradox in which technology-mediated pedagogies, designed in part to reduce educational inequalities, may end up widening them when deployed under conditions of differential access. This paradox is especially visible in Colombian rural education, where the digital divide is not only technical but also pedagogical, cultural, and institutional.

The MEN (2020) implicitly acknowledge this limitation in its guidelines by establishing minimum conditions of infrastructure and teacher training for ICT integration, although the distance between those normatively established minimum conditions and the actual conditions of many rural institutions remains considerable. This distance is not merely an implementation problem; it is a conceptual limitation of policy frameworks that proceed from the assumption that basic conditions are guaranteed when in reality they constitute the very problem to be resolved. Formulating technological integration policies on assumptions that are not met in the territory produces normatively coherent documents that are pedagogically inoperative in the contexts that need them most.

Peralta-Roncal et al. (2023) identifies a second limitation of an epistemological character that merits particular attention: the greater part of research on the scope and effectiveness of technology-mediated pedagogies has been produced in contexts with consolidated conditions of technological access, generating a systematic bias in the available body of evidence. The conclusions derived from those studies are frequently presented as generalisable findings when in reality they are contextualised findings whose transferability to Latin American rural settings is, at best, a hypothesis requiring local empirical verification. This epistemological limitation

carries direct practical consequences: it orients the adoption of technology-mediated pedagogical approaches on the basis of evidence that does not represent the actual conditions of the territories in which they are applied.

Coll Salvador et al. (2023) identify a third limitation that operates at the level of school culture: processes of technology normalisation in Colombian rural schools face resistances that are not technical in nature but cultural and institutional, resistances linked to deeply held conceptions about the authority of the teacher, the legitimacy of school knowledge, and the place that digital media should occupy in a pedagogical practice perceived as fundamentally relational and face-to-face. These resistances cannot be resolved with more technology or with more technical training; they require processes of pedagogical accompaniment that recognise the rationality of teachers' doubts and that build confidence in technological mediation from practical and reflective experience, not from normative imposition.

In summary, the scope of technology-mediated pedagogies is real but conditioned, and their limitations are structural but not insurmountable. What this analysis reveals with clarity is that neither the technological optimism that celebrates digital mediation as a universal solution to educational problems, nor the scepticism that dismisses it as a costly distraction, adequately captures the complexity of the phenomenon. The epistemological position that orients this monograph assumes instead that technology-mediated pedagogies are genuinely powerful tools of pedagogical transformation when deployed with intentionality, contextual pertinence, and equity as guiding criteria, and that their potential in Colombian rural education can only be realised to the extent that policies, practices, and research are constructed from the actual conditions of those territories rather than from the assumptions of contexts that bear little relation to them.

Challenges and opportunities in the pedagogical use of ICT in rural primary education

The incorporation of information and communication technologies into rural primary education is neither a new phenomenon nor a concluded process. It is, more precisely, a field of permanent tension in which institutional expectations, structural limitations, pedagogical intentions, and territorial conditions converge in ways that rarely coincide harmoniously. To understand that field of tension in its full complexity is the central purpose of this chapter.

The specialised literature has tended to approach this phenomenon from two positions that, although opposed in their assessments, share the same methodological deficiency: generalisation. On one side, technoptimist discourses present ICT as instruments of educational democratisation whose mere presence in the rural classroom suffices to reduce historical gaps in access and quality. On the other, more sceptical readings dismiss them as imported solutions that do not respond to the actual needs of territories and that divert resources away from more pertinent pedagogical interventions. UNESCO (2023) and Hoyos-Pipicano et al. (2025) converge, from distinct perspectives, in the view that both positions are insufficient: the first because it ignores the structural conditions that determine whether technology does or does not produce real learning; the second because it disregards the growing body of evidence on the transformative potential of technological mediation when it is pedagogically grounded and contextually situated.

This chapter positions itself deliberately between those two poles. It assumes that ICT represent a genuine pedagogical opportunity for Colombian rural primary education, but that this opportunity is not realised spontaneously or through the effect of technological provision alone. It is realised, when it is realised, as the result of deliberate pedagogical decisions, minimally favourable institutional conditions, and policies that recognise the specificity of rural territories

rather than treating them as belated recipients of models designed for other contexts. Peralta-Roncal et al. (2023) and Carrete-Marín and Domingo-Peñafiel (2023) offer analytical frameworks that make it possible to examine that conditioned realisation with greater precision than totalising approaches allow, and it is from those frameworks that the argumentation of the sections that follow is organised.

The MEN (2020) and Hoyos-Pipicano and Jaime-Osorio (2025) contribute, respectively, the normative and the empirical dimensions that frame the analysis: the former by revealing the gaps between what policy prescribes and what the territory can sustain; the latter by documenting how Colombian rural teachers construct pedagogical meaning around technology from conditions that normative frameworks frequently fail to anticipate. The articulation between these two levels, that of policy and that of practice, is precisely the space where the challenges and opportunities of the pedagogical use of ICT in rural primary education acquire their most concrete and most urgent significance.

Challenges in the pedagogical use of ICT in rural primary education

The challenges facing the pedagogical use of ICT in rural primary education cannot be fully understood if examined in isolation, as though each were an independent technical problem amenable to a discrete solution. They constitute, rather, a system of interrelated difficulties whose logic is only revealed when they are analysed collectively and in relation to the structural conditions that produce and reproduce them. This systemic perspective is what makes it possible to distinguish between challenges that respond to circumstantial deficiencies, which are susceptible to being addressed through targeted investment, and those that express deeper contradictions between the dominant educational model and the pedagogical, cultural, and territorial realities of Colombian rurality.

The most visible and most frequently cited challenge in the literature is that of technological infrastructure and connectivity. Cruz-Carbonell et al. (2020) document that ICT coverage in rural basic education is substantially inferior to that of urban settings, with disparities that are not limited to the availability of devices but extend to the quality of internet connectivity, the continuity of electricity supply, and the maintenance of available equipment. The Fundación Empresarios por la Educación (2024) reinforces this characterisation by identifying connectivity as one of the priority challenges for the period 2024 to 2027 in the department, acknowledging that without minimum infrastructure conditions any policy of technological integration in rural schools operates on a materially unsustainable foundation. This infrastructural challenge is neither minor nor surmountable in the short term; it decisively conditions the actual scope of all other technology-mediated pedagogical initiatives.

Nevertheless, reducing the challenges of technological integration in rural education to the problem of infrastructure is an analytical error that more recent research has begun to correct with firmness. Hoyos-Pipicano and Jaime-Osorio (2025) demonstrate, drawing on narratives from Colombian rural teachers, that even in contexts where technological infrastructure has improved substantially, pedagogical, cultural, and institutional challenges persist that the provision of equipment and connectivity does not in itself resolve. Their findings reveal that rural teachers face difficulties in pedagogically integrating available technology not because they lack the disposition or basic technical competencies, but because the teacher training models in which they were prepared did not offer them sufficient conceptual frameworks or practical experiences for articulating technological mediation with the particularities of the multigrade classroom, the heterogeneity of levels, and the community dynamics characteristic of their territories.

This formative gap is, strictly speaking, the second major challenge in the pedagogical use of ICT in rural primary education, and it is perhaps the most difficult to address because it operates at the level of pedagogical conceptions rather than simply at the level of technical competencies. Peralta-Roncal et al. (2023) argues that teacher training for technological integration has tended to privilege instruction in the use of specific tools over the development of a theoretical understanding of technological mediation as a pedagogical phenomenon, producing teachers capable of operating devices but not necessarily of designing learning experiences in which technology fulfils a clear pedagogical function coherent with the context in which they work. This formative limitation is compounded in the case of rural teachers, who frequently access professional development processes in a more irregular and less sustained manner than their urban counterparts, and for whom the available training proposals rarely begin from a recognition of the specificities of their everyday practice.

Carrete-Marín and Domingo-Peñafiel (2021) contribute a complementary perspective by examining the specific challenges of using technological resources in multigrade classrooms, the predominant modality in Colombian rural primary education. Their findings indicate that the majority of technological resources available in the educational market and promoted by provision policies are designed for classrooms that are homogeneous in terms of grade and age, making them structurally ill-suited to the multigrade context without significant pedagogical adaptation that teachers rarely have the time or institutional support to undertake. This misalignment between the design of resources and the actual conditions of the classroom is not a minor detail; it is an expression of the way in which the production of digital educational materials reproduces, at the technological level, the same assumptions of school homogeneity that have historically characterised urban educational systems.

Cardozo Gavilán (2022) identifies a third challenge of a didactic nature that merits particular attention: the difficulty teachers face in assessing learning that occurs in technology-mediated environments using the same criteria and instruments designed for traditional face-to-face instruction. The data show that when teachers are unable to adapt their assessment practices to the new pedagogical environment, they tend to underuse available technological resources or to employ them solely for low-level cognitive activities, such as the reproduction of content or the completion of closed-response exercises, forfeiting the possibilities for production, collaboration, and critical thinking that digital environments can facilitate when used with pedagogical intentionality. This assessment challenge is, ultimately, a challenge of coherence between the declared educational aims and the pedagogical means actually deployed.

UNESCO (2023) situates all of these challenges within a broader framework by noting that the pedagogical use of ICT in contexts of high educational vulnerability faces a transversal challenge that might be termed one of pedagogical legitimacy: the difficulty of persuading rural educational communities, including teachers, families, and students, that technological integration responds to their actual needs rather than to external modernisation agendas that have little to do with the educational priorities of the territory. This legitimacy challenge cannot be resolved through institutional communication or awareness campaigns; it requires participatory processes of design and implementation in which rural communities are recognised as active subjects of pedagogical transformation rather than as passive recipients of policies elaborated from outside.

The MEN (2020), despite acknowledging several of these challenges in its guidelines, tends to propose responses that frequently underestimate their structural complexity. The tendency to formulate challenges as implementation problems, that is, as technical or

administrative obstacles to be resolved with greater investment, better institutional coordination, or more technical training, obscures their deeper pedagogical and political dimension. Understanding the challenges of the pedagogical use of ICT in rural primary education as expressions of structural tensions between the dominant educational model and the realities of Colombian rurality is not a pessimistic exercise; it is a condition for designing responses that are genuinely transformative rather than merely palliative. The sections that follow attempt to advance in that direction by examining, with equal rigour, the opportunities that the same reality affords.

Pedagogical opportunities of ICT in rural primary education contexts

Examining the pedagogical opportunities of ICT in rural primary education requires, as a prior condition, resisting the temptation to enumerate them as though they were intrinsic benefits of technology itself, independent of the context in which it operates and of the pedagogical decisions that orient it. Opportunities do not exist in the abstract; they exist in relation to specific needs, particular conditions, and concrete subjects who may or may not avail themselves of them depending on the manner in which technological mediation is deployed. This precision is not a gesture of rhetorical caution but an epistemological requirement that defines the approach from which this section addresses its object: the pedagogical opportunities of ICT in rural primary education are real, but they are situated, conditioned, and constructed opportunities, not given ones.

The first and most structurally significant of these opportunities is the expansion of the pedagogical horizon in contexts of territorial isolation. Hoyos-Pipicano and Jaime-Osorio (2025) document how Colombian rural teachers have used technological mediation to transcend the limitations that geographic isolation imposes on pedagogical practice, gaining access to

professional learning communities, repositories of educational materials, and pedagogical experiences from other contexts that would otherwise have remained inaccessible. This expansion does not operate solely at the level of the teacher; it extends to the student, whose cultural, scientific, and social frame of reference broadens when technology enables contact with realities, bodies of knowledge, and perspectives that the immediate environment cannot offer. The pedagogical relevance of this expansion should not be underestimated: in contexts where the rural school is frequently the only space of systematic access to formal knowledge, technological openness towards the wider world can represent a qualitative transformation in the conditions of educability of students.

UNESCO (2023) situates this opportunity within a broader framework by arguing that ICT have the potential to reconfigure the relationship between the rural school and global knowledge in ways that strengthen, rather than weaken, local identity and pertinence. This position nuances a frequent critique according to which technological integration in rural contexts imports external cultural models that displace local knowledge and homogenise the educational experience. UNESCO (2023) acknowledges that risk, but argues that it is not inherent to technology but to the way in which it is pedagogically managed: when technological mediation is oriented by criteria of contextual pertinence, it can become a bridge between local knowledge and global knowledge that enriches both, rather than subordinating one to the other.

Carrete-Marín and Domingo-Peñafiel (2023) develop this perspective by examining the possibilities offered by technology-mediated open education for the rural school. Their argument is that open educational resources, when selected and adapted with pedagogical judgement, allow rural teachers to construct curricular proposals that are richer and more pertinent than those they could elaborate using only the printed materials available in their institutions, without this

implying an abandonment of the territorial grounding that gives meaning to rural pedagogical practice. This opportunity is especially relevant in the Colombian context, where inequality in the distribution of quality educational materials between urban and rural institutions remains one of the most persistent expressions of the inequity of the educational system.

Cardozo Gavilán (2022) contributes empirical evidence that specifies the scope of these opportunities in the early cycles of basic education, demonstrating that the pedagogically grounded use of ICT at these levels has documentable positive effects on student motivation, participation, and reading comprehension, effects that are especially pronounced in contexts where the scarcity of diverse cognitive stimuli constitutes a factor of educational underachievement. The data suggest, however, that these effects are neither automatic nor uniform: they depend on the quality of teacher mediation, on the coherence between the technological resource and the learning objective, and on the regularity with which students access technology-mediated experiences. This conditionality does not diminish the value of the opportunity; it defines it more precisely and renders it more operationally useful for the design of pedagogical interventions.

Forero Quiroga (2013), whose analysis of the teacher's role in the rural multigrade school predates the most recent technological expansion chronologically, yet anticipates its pedagogical implications with notable pertinence, argues that the rural teacher faces a demand for pedagogical differentiation that exceeds the possibilities of traditional collective instruction. This demand, which in the context of that analysis constituted a structural limitation that was difficult to resolve, acquires a different dimension when examined in light of the possibilities offered by digital learning environments: technology can operate, in the multigrade classroom, as a resource for pedagogical differentiation that enables the teacher to attend simultaneously to different

performance levels and different learning needs without indefinitely multiplying their workload. This articulation between the classic reading of the multigrade classroom and the contemporary possibilities of technological mediation is not forced; it is precisely the kind of theoretical connection that makes it possible to understand the opportunities of ICT in terms of the actual pedagogical needs of the rural context, rather than in terms of the generic promises of educational technology.

Peralta-Roncal et al. (2023) adds a relational dimension to this analysis by noting that ICT also offer significant opportunities for strengthening the bonds between the rural school and its community. Digital communication platforms, audiovisual production resources, and territory documentation tools can become mediators of learning processes that articulate school knowledge with community knowledge, restoring to the rural school a function as a cultural and epistemic centre that it has frequently lost in the process of uncritical adoption of curricula designed for other contexts. This opportunity is pedagogically powerful not only because it enriches student learning, but because it strengthens the social legitimacy of the rural school within its territory, a legitimacy that is a necessary condition for the sustainability of any process of educational transformation.

Coll Salvador et al. (2023) reinforce this perspective by documenting experiences in which the production of ICT-mediated learning evidence has enabled rural teachers to make visible, systematise, and communicate pedagogical processes that would otherwise have remained invisible to supervisory institutions and to the communities themselves. This visibility is not a minor benefit: in contexts where the rural school is frequently undervalued or overlooked by assessment systems and educational policy, the capacity to document and communicate the

learning processes that occur within it can constitute an instrument of institutional recognition and political advocacy.

The MEN (2021), through the Programa Conexión Total, implicitly acknowledges several of these opportunities by directing investment in connectivity towards rural educational institutions with the greatest access deficits. However, Hoyos-Pipicano and Jaime-Osorio (2025) caution that the effective realisation of these opportunities cannot be guaranteed solely through the provision of infrastructure, however necessary that may be. It also requires sustained processes of pedagogical accompaniment that help rural teachers construct their own narratives about the meaning of technological mediation in their specific contexts, narratives that do not uncritically reproduce frameworks elaborated in urban settings, but emerge from the dialogue between those frameworks and the concrete realities of their territories and their classrooms.

Taken together, the pedagogical opportunities of ICT in rural primary education configure a horizon of genuinely transformative possibilities, but a horizon that only becomes attainable when decisions regarding policy, teacher training, and pedagogical design are made from a recognition of the actual conditions of Colombian rurality. The distance between that horizon and the current situation is not an argument against the opportunities; it is an argument against the superficiality with which they have frequently been approached, and a call to construct the pedagogical, institutional, and political conditions that will allow them to be realised with the depth and pertinence that rural students deserve.

Contextual factors that influence the pedagogical use of ICT in rural environments

The pedagogical use of ICT in rural settings does not occur in a vacuum. It occurs in territories with specific histories, in institutions with particular organisational cultures, in classrooms with their own dynamics, and in relation to communities that hold expectations,

mistrust, and knowledge about education that no technological policy can ignore without consequences. Understanding the contextual factors that condition that use is therefore not a complementary or secondary exercise relative to the central pedagogical analysis; it is a condition for that analysis to be intellectually honest and practically useful. A technology-mediated pedagogy that does not recognise the contextual factors in which it operates is not a situated pedagogy but a well-intentioned abstraction that inevitably collides with the reality of the rural classroom.

The first contextual factor of relevance is territorial infrastructure, understood in its broadest sense: not only the availability of devices and connectivity in educational institutions, but the conditions of the territory that determine actual access to that infrastructure. Cruz-Carbonell et al. (2020) document that ICT coverage in rural basic education presents significant disparities not only between urban and rural settings but within rurality itself, with substantial differences among municipalities, among rural districts, and among institutions that share the same administrative designation but operate under radically different material conditions. ExE (2024) reinforces this characterisation by identifying that within its territory the connectivity gaps between dispersed rural zones and smaller population centres constitute one of the most persistent obstacles to the implementation of educational policies that presuppose technological access as a baseline condition. This infrastructural factor is not merely technical; it is also political, because it reflects historical decisions of investment and territorial prioritisation that have systematically reproduced the disadvantage of the most remote rural areas.

Hoyos-Pipicano and Jaime-Osorio (2025) introduce a second contextual factor that operates in a less visible but equally determining dimension: the institutional school culture and its relationship with technology. Their narratives from Colombian rural teachers reveal that the

attitude of school administrators towards technological integration, the degree of institutional support available for processes of pedagogical innovation, and the presence or absence of collective spaces for reflection on practice are factors that profoundly condition both the disposition and the capacity of teachers to use available ICT pedagogically. In institutions where the school culture values pedagogical experimentation and where administrators assume an active role of accompaniment, teachers develop with greater ease narratives of technological integration that are coherent with their context. In institutions where a culture of normative compliance predominates, technology tends to be used in a ritual manner, to satisfy institutional requirements, without this implying any real pedagogical transformation.

The third contextual factor is the initial and continuing training of rural teachers, which Forero Quiroga (2013) had already identified as a critical variable for the quality of pedagogical practice in the multigrade school long before technological integration became an educational policy priority. The analysis of the teacher's role in the rural multigrade school anticipates with precision a tension that has become more acute with technological expansion: the demands placed on the rural teacher have multiplied, but the conditions of their training and professional development have not evolved at the same pace. Peralta-Roncal et al. (2023) update this reading by showing that teacher training for technological integration in rural contexts remains predominantly generic, designed for urban teachers with homogeneous classroom conditions, and that rural teachers who succeed in developing pertinent and effective technology-mediated pedagogical practices do so largely through processes of self-directed learning and peer learning that continuing education policies rarely recognise or support in any systematic manner.

Carrete-Marín and Domingo-Peñafiel (2021) contribute a fourth contextual factor that is specifically relevant to the multigrade classroom: the structural heterogeneity of the student

group as a condition that amplifies both the opportunities and the challenges of the pedagogical use of ICT. In the multigrade classroom, technology can operate simultaneously at different levels of complexity, attending to the learning needs of students at different grades and moments of cognitive development, but this possibility is only realised when the teacher possesses the pedagogical competence to design technologically mediated activities that are differentially pertinent for each group of students. The heterogeneity of the multigrade classroom is therefore not a factor that technology resolves automatically; it is a factor that demands from technological mediation a level of pedagogical sophistication that the digital educational resources market rarely provides and that available teacher training rarely develops.

Coll Salvador et al. (2023) introduce a fifth contextual factor of an epistemological nature that frequently remains implicit in analyses of technological integration: the conceptions of learning and of learning evidence that prevail in an educational institution decisively condition the type of use made of available ICT. Their findings show that in contexts where transmissive conceptions of learning predominate, digital technologies tend to be used as means of distributing content, while in contexts where more constructive and dialogical conceptions prevail, the same technologies are used to facilitate processes of production, collaboration, and reflection. This observation carries a direct contextual implication for rural education: if the dominant pedagogical conceptions in an institution are not conducive to the active construction of knowledge, the introduction of technology will not transform those conceptions but will reproduce them in digital format, generating the appearance of innovation without its substance.

UNESCO (2023) identifies a sixth contextual factor that operates at the level of the broader educational community: the expectations and social representations of rural families regarding education and the role of technology within it. The analyses show that in numerous

rural contexts families maintain conceptions of quality education associated with in-person attendance, direct relationship with the teacher, and traditional printed materials, conceptions that may generate resistance or mistrust towards pedagogical proposals that incorporate technology in a central way. This factor does not invalidate the pertinence of technological integration, but it does require that implementation processes include spaces for dialogue with communities that make it possible to build shared understanding of the pedagogical meaning of technological mediation, rather than imposing it as modernisation from above without consultation or community participation.

Taken together, these contextual factors configure a map of conditioning elements that no technological integration policy can ignore without compromising its pedagogical effectiveness. What this analysis reveals with clarity is that the pedagogical use of ICT in rural settings is not fundamentally a technological problem but a pedagogical, institutional, cultural, and political one, whose resolution requires interventions that operate simultaneously at several levels and that begin from the recognition of the specificity of each context. Policies that treat rural education as a homogeneous set of recipients with uniform needs are not only pedagogically inadequate; they are epistemologically incorrect, because they deny the constitutive diversity of Colombian rurality and with it the possibility of constructing responses that are genuinely pertinent to the territories and the subjects they purport to serve.

Analytical summary of the chapter

The theoretical field examined in this chapter is heterogeneous, but heterogeneity is not, in itself, a finding. It is a description of the field's surface that becomes analytically useful only when interrogated for the asymmetries it conceals. Not all of the theoretical traditions examined in the preceding sections stand in equivalent relation to the educational reality this monograph

investigates. To conclude by affirming their coexistence without adjudicating between them would be to perform a gesture of epistemological neutrality that the evidence accumulated throughout this chapter does not authorize.

The constructivist tradition offers the most elaborated account of how active knowledge construction unfolds in ICT-mediated environments, but it does so from assumptions about individual device access, stable connectivity, and homogeneous classroom organization that correspond, as Abós Olivares (2020) and Carrete-Marín and Domingo-Peñafiel (2021) consistently demonstrate, not to the Colombian rural primary school but to the urban middle-class classroom for which the greater part of that tradition was designed. Constructivism's explanatory power in the context of this study is therefore real but radically conditioned: it illuminates the pedagogical possibilities of ICT-mediated learning under conditions that the rural multigrade school does not present and cannot be expected to produce in the short term without structural transformations that lie well beyond the reach of pedagogical decision alone. To adopt constructivism as the primary theoretical framework for understanding ICT in Colombian rural primary education is, under those circumstances, not an epistemological choice but an evasion of the obligation to think from the actual conditions of the territory.

The sociocultural framework, and specifically the Vygotskian tradition of mediation as Daniels (2022) updates it for the contemporary pedagogical context, proves more adequate to the structural realities of the rural school precisely because it does not presuppose learner autonomy as a baseline condition but foregrounds the teacher's mediating function as the irreducible axis of pedagogical value. This adequacy, however, has a critical limit that Echavarría et al. (2019) expose with analytical precision: the cultural tools that the sociocultural framework positions as mediators of learning carry their own epistemic hierarchies, and when those tools are constituted

by digital platforms and curricular content produced from urban assumptions, their integration into the rural classroom may mediate not the activation of territorial knowledge but its progressive erasure. The sociocultural framework is emancipatory in rural education only to the extent that the cultural dimension of mediation is subjected to a critical examination that the framework does not itself provide but that the critical tradition, as articulated through the diagnostic work of Soto Arango and Molina Pacheco (2018) and the structural critique of UNESCO (2023), makes possible.

It is from this specific articulation, and not from a general celebration of theoretical plurality, that this monograph derives its epistemological position. The theoretical foundation most adequate for understanding technology-mediated pedagogies in Colombian rural primary education is not constructivism, nor the sociocultural framework in isolation, nor the critical approach as a self-sufficient paradigm, but the critical extension of the sociocultural tradition: a framework that preserves the centrality of the teacher as a pedagogical mediator, subjects the cultural tools of digital mediation to the scrutiny of territorial pertinence and epistemic justice, and refuses the technosolutionist assumption that the presence of devices constitutes a condition sufficient for the activation of learning. That position is not a synthesis that dissolves the tensions between the three traditions; it is a hierarchy that acknowledges those tensions and takes a side. Hoyos-Pipicano and Jaime-Osorio (2025) provide the most empirically grounded justification for that hierarchy, demonstrating that the rural teachers who produce genuine pedagogical mediation with available technology are precisely those who have developed, whether through institutional training or through processes of contextual self-direction, a critical reflexivity about the relationship between the tool and the territorial conditions in which it operates a reflexivity that

is sociocultural in its attention to mediation and critical in its interrogation of the power relations that determine which mediations become available and to whom.

From my own perspective as a Colombian student concluding professional formation in the context this literature describes, what the theoretical field ultimately reveals is not the comfortable truth that multiple frameworks can coexist in productive tension, but the uncomfortable truth that the dominant frameworks were not constructed for the educational reality this country presents in its most marginalised territories, and that adopting them without that acknowledgment is an act of intellectual subordination masquerading as theoretical rigor. The contribution of this chapter is not to have mapped that heterogeneity but to have argued, on the basis of the evidence reviewed, that one orientation within it is more honest, more adequate, and more politically responsible than the others for the specific purposes of this investigation. That argument may be contested, and its contestation would constitute a genuine theoretical advance. What it cannot honestly be replaced by is the silence of a conclusion that refuses to take a position.

Opportunities in the Implementation of ICT in Rural Primary Education

A tension runs persistently through the specialised literature on educational technology in rural contexts: while intergovernmental bodies have consistently positioned Information and Communication Technologies as instruments of equity and pedagogical emancipation, critical research has warned with equal insistence that those same tools, when introduced without structural transformation, reproduce the very inequalities they purport to dissolve. International research has consistently argued that digital connectivity in rural schools constitutes a prior condition for children in dispersed territories to exercise their right to quality education on terms comparable to those of their urban peers (Echazarra & Radinger, 2019). However, Hoyos Pipicano et al. (2025) caution that technology-mediated education only produces genuine pedagogical transformation when it is grounded in a critical reading of the institutional and sociocultural conditions of each territory, conditions that, in Colombian rural schools, have been shaped by decades of structural abandonment, geographic isolation, and the imposition of standardised curricula that disregard peasant, indigenous, and Afro-Colombian epistemologies. This chapter assumes that tension in its full complexity and, rather than resolving it in favour of techno-optimism or techno-scepticism, examines the opportunities that ICT genuinely offer for rural primary education in Colombia, situating them within the material and pedagogical conditions that determine whether that potential can effectively be realised.

The analytical starting point of this chapter is inscribed within a critical interpretive epistemological paradigm. From this perspective, opportunities are not treated as neutral or self-evident properties of technological artefacts, but as possibilities that emerge or foreclose in accordance with the social relations, institutional arrangements, and power structures within

which technologies are embedded (Peralta-Roncal et al., 2023). This interpretive orientation makes it possible to shift the analysis beyond the enumeration of digital platform functionalities or the counting of connected schools, in order to interrogate instead whom these opportunities benefit, under what conditions they are accessible, and at what pedagogical and cultural costs they are produced. Data from the Departamento Administrativo Nacional de Estadística (DANE, 2023) on formal education coverage in Colombia reveal that, despite measurable advances in device provision through programmes such as Computadores para Educar, significant disparities persist in the pedagogical appropriation of ICT between schools located in departmental capitals and those situated in rural municipalities of Norte de Santander, where the Gobernación de Norte de Santander (2024) identifies limited connectivity and insufficient teacher training as the two most critical barriers to educational quality. These disparities are not incidental: they reflect the structural logic identified by Atchoarena and Gasperini (2003) in their foundational work for UNESCO and the FAO, who demonstrated that rural education systems in developing countries tend to absorb the form of technological modernisation without its substance, incorporating devices as a symbol of institutional modernity without altering the pedagogical practices or curricular logics that produce exclusion.

Against that critical framework, the opportunities analysed in this chapter are real, but they are conditioned by factors that educational policy cannot afford to ignore. Carrete Marín and Domingo Peñafiel (2023) demonstrate that open digital education, when designed with explicit attention to rural contexts, can expand the pedagogical repertoire of the multigrade teacher and create pathways for students to connect local knowledge with broader intellectual traditions, a dynamic that Abós Olivares (2020) identifies as central to the academic dignity of the rural multigrade school. At the same time, Cardozo Gavilán (2022) contributes empirical

evidence that the integration of ICT in the early cycles of basic education produces measurable gains in student motivation and learning outcomes when teachers receive continuous and contextualised professional training, rather than isolated technical instruction. The MEN (2020) acknowledges this distinction in its ICT integration guidelines for educational processes, calling for a shift from an instrumental conception of technology towards a pedagogical one, a shift that, according to the Hoyos-Pipicano & Jaime-Osorio (2025) has not yet been achieved in a systematic manner in the country's rural public schools. The World Education Monitoring Report (UNESCO, 2023) deepens this argument by warning that the introduction of digital tools without parallel investment in teacher agency, curricular flexibility, and community participation risks amplifying existing inequalities rather than reducing them. This warning is not interpreted here as a reason for pessimism, but as a precise map of the conditions that must be attended to in order for ICT to function as genuine instruments of educational opportunity in the rural primary schools of Colombia.

The chapter is structured around three interconnected analytical axes. The first examines the pedagogical potential of ICT in rural primary education, attending to the theoretical frameworks that explain how digital mediation can transform the relationship among teachers, students, and knowledge under conditions of geographic and cultural diversity. The second axis analyses pedagogical innovation and the transformation of educational practices in rural contexts, drawing on evidence from Colombian and Latin American experiences to evaluate which forms of innovation prove viable and sustainable under field conditions. The third axis addresses the opportunities for inclusion, equity, and access to knowledge, examining how ICT can contribute, when supported by sustained public policy and community participation, to reducing the educational gap between rural and urban Colombia. Taken together, these three

axes do not constitute an exhaustive inventory of the available literature, but a critical and focused interpretation, coherent with the qualitative documentary methodology of this monograph, whose purpose is to produce theoretically grounded and contextually pertinent knowledge about a field that the Colombian educational system can no longer treat as peripheral.

Pedagogical potential of ICT in rural primary education

Analysing the pedagogical potential of Information and Communication Technologies in rural primary education implies, above all, abandoning a deterministic conception of technology as an agent of automatic change and situating it within the terrain of situated Pedagogical Mediation. In rural contexts, where the school operates under specific structural conditions such as the multigrade classroom, territorial dispersion, and the centrality of the community in school life, the pedagogical value of ICT does not derive from their mere presence, but from the way in which they are articulated with teaching practices, the curriculum, and local educational projects (Abós Olivares, 2020; Echavarría et al., 2019).

From a critical pedagogical perspective, ICT possess the potential to expand the possibilities of teaching and learning in the rural primary school by offering resources that favour pedagogical differentiation, student autonomy, and access to multiple representations of knowledge. In the multigrade classroom, this potential acquires particular relevance, since the heterogeneity of ages, grade levels, and learning rhythms demands flexible didactic strategies that can hardly be sustained exclusively through traditional methodologies. Abós Olivares (2020) argues that the structural diversity of the rural school does not constitute a pedagogical deficit, but a condition that, when accompanied by appropriate mediations, can enrich learning processes. Within this framework, ICT can function as support tools for differentiated planning,

enabling the teacher to design activities and resources adjusted to different levels of complexity within the same educational space.

Nevertheless, this pedagogical potential is only actualised when technology is integrated from an explicit educational intentionality. Cardozo Gavilán (2022), drawing on empirical evidence in basic education, demonstrates that the use of ICT generates positive effects on motivation, participation, and content comprehension only when the teacher assumes an active role as a pedagogical mediator. When technology is limited to reproducing digital content without a clear didactic strategy, its impact on learning proves marginal or even non-existent. This observation is especially significant in rural education, where the functional overload of the teacher and the limited specific pedagogical training in ICT can easily lead to instrumental uses that squander the transformative potential of technological mediation.

From a broader perspective, Atchoarena and Gasperini (2003) caution that rural education must be understood as part of an integral territorial development project, in which educational processes are articulated with the social, economic, and cultural conditions of the environment. In this sense, ICT possess an additional pedagogical potential by facilitating dialogue between school knowledge and local knowledge systems, as well as by connecting rural communities with broader networks of information and learning. This approach is taken up by FAO, IIPE and OREALC/UNESCO (2004), who note that the pedagogical use of technologies in rural contexts can contribute to strengthening curricular relevance and educational equity, provided that the imposition of homogeneous models designed for urban realities is avoided.

The sociocultural dimension of the pedagogical potential of ICT acquires particular relevance in the rural school as a community space. Gómez Tocarruncho et al. (2021) highlight that the rural school not only fulfils academic functions but acts as a sociocultural node where

identities are constructed, local memories are transmitted, and life projects linked to the territory are consolidated. In this context, ICT can become mediations that make community knowledge visible and valued through learning projects based on the documentation of the environment, audiovisual production, or the use of digital resources to narrate local experiences. In this way, technology does not operate as an external element that decontextualises education, but as a means of strengthening territorial rootedness and a sense of belonging.

However, recognising the pedagogical potential of ICT in rural primary education also requires attending to the limits imposed by the structural conditions of the educational system. Data from the (DANE, 2023) demonstrate that significant gaps in infrastructure, connectivity, and access to technological resources persist between the urban and rural areas of the country. These gaps decisively condition the actual possibilities of pedagogical ICT integration, such that their potential cannot be analysed apart from the material conditions that enable or constrain it. The Ministerio de Educación Nacional, through the Proyecto de Educación Rural (PER II), acknowledges this tension and raises the need to articulate technological provision with contextualised formative and pedagogical processes, although the effective implementation of this approach has been uneven across the territory (MEN, 2015).

From a critical perspective, UNESCO (2023) cautions that the incorporation of digital technologies into educational systems does not in itself guarantee improvements in learning outcomes and that, in contexts of high inequality, it may even deepen existing gaps. Nevertheless, this observation does not invalidate the pedagogical potential of ICT, but rather underscores the need to understand it as a conditioned potential. In rural primary education, ICT can promote active, collaborative, and meaningful learning, broaden access to knowledge, and strengthen educational equity, provided they are integrated within the framework of coherent

pedagogical projects, with continuous teacher training and explicit recognition of the socioterritorial particularities of the rural environment (Peralta-Roncal et al., 2023; Soto Arango & Molina Pacheco, 2018).

In summary, the pedagogical potential of ICT in rural primary education does not reside in the technology itself, but in its capacity to act as situated Pedagogical Mediation. Its value is expressed when it contributes to addressing the heterogeneity of the multigrade classroom, strengthening student autonomy and participation, articulating the curriculum with local knowledge, and expanding the horizon of access to knowledge in territories historically marginalised from the educational system. Nevertheless, this potential can only be fully realised if it is accompanied by sustained public policies, pertinent teacher training, and structural conditions that guarantee equity in the access and pedagogical use of technologies. From this understanding, ICT are configured not as a technical solution to the problems of rural education, but as a pedagogical opportunity that demands conscious, critical, and contextually informed educational decisions.

Pedagogical innovation and transformation of educational practices in rural contexts

Speaking of pedagogical innovation in rural primary education requires starting from a fundamental conceptual precaution: innovation is not equivalent to the incorporation of technical novelties nor to the uncritical adoption of models designed for urban contexts. In rural territories, educational innovation can only be understood as a situated process of transformation, in which pedagogical practices are redefined in accordance with the structural, sociocultural, and organisational conditions of the rural school. From this perspective, technology does not constitute the nucleus of innovation, but a possible mediation within broader processes of

reconfiguration of teaching and learning (Echavarría et al., 2019; Gómez Tocarruncho et al., 2021).

The specialised literature converges in indicating that rural contexts demand forms of pedagogical innovation distinct from those promoted by hegemonic discourses of educational modernisation. Abós Olivares (2020) argues that the rural multigrade school cannot be evaluated with the same parameters of innovation applied to the graduated urban school, since its pedagogical organisation responds to logics of heterogeneity, flexibility, and continuous adaptation that, in themselves, contain a high innovative potential. In this sense, pedagogical innovation in rural settings does not begin from replacing existing practices, but from strengthening and resignifying those that already make it possible to manage classroom diversity and the close relationship between school and territory.

Within this framework, ICT acquire relevance as catalysts of processes of pedagogical transformation when they are integrated into reflective and contextualised practices. Cardozo Gavilán (2022) demonstrates that technological mediation can contribute to modifying traditional teaching dynamics by promoting active methodologies, student autonomous work, and the diversification of didactic strategies, provided the teacher assumes a role as a pedagogical designer rather than a mere executor of digital resources. This shift in the teacher's role proves key to understanding innovation not as external change, but as an internal reconstruction of pedagogical practice.

Nevertheless, various authors caution that the introduction of technology alone does not guarantee pedagogical transformation. Soto Arango and Molina Pacheco (2018), in analysing experiences of ICT integration in Colombian rural schools, document a persistent gap between discourses of educational innovation and actual classroom practices. In many cases, technology

is incorporated to reinforce pre-existing transmissive methodologies, without significantly altering pedagogical relationships or learning processes. This finding makes evident that pedagogical innovation does not depend on the technological resource, but on the institutional and teacher capacity to rethink the meaning of teaching in specific contexts.

From an institutional and public policy perspective, Hernández-Silva (2020) cautions that even models historically recognised as innovative in Colombian rural education, such as Escuela Nueva, have progressively lost their transformative potential when they cease to be subjected to systematic processes of critical review. While the model promoted pedagogical principles such as active student participation, autonomous learning, and community engagement, its implementation in Colombia was characterised by a strong standardisation of instruments and by the use of coverage and enrolment indicators as the primary sources of legitimation, to the detriment of the analysis of the actual quality of pedagogical practices. This reading is particularly relevant to the analysis of the role of ICT, since it highlights that sustained pedagogical innovation depends neither on the reproduction of consecrated models nor on the incorporation of new resources, but on the institutional and teacher capacity to critically rethink educational practices in the face of contextual transformations.

At the international level, Atchoarena and Gasperini (2003) argue that educational innovation in rural contexts is only viable when it is articulated with processes of territorial development and with the recognition of the living conditions of rural communities. From this perspective, innovative pedagogical practices are not limited to the classroom, but are linked to community projects, local productive knowledge, and the sociocultural dynamics characteristic of the territory. FAO, IIPE and OREALC/UNESCO (2004) deepen this idea by documenting Latin American experiences in which rural educational innovation is sustained more by

contextual pertinence and community participation than by the adoption of the latest generation of technological devices.

The sociocultural dimension of pedagogical transformation is especially relevant in rural primary education. Gómez Tocarruncho et al. (2021) highlight that the rural school acts as a space of symbolic construction and community cohesion, where pedagogical practices acquire meaning to the extent that they engage in dialogue with the knowledge, identities, and memories of the territory. In this context, ICT can contribute to innovative processes when they are used to document the environment, strengthen intergenerational communication, and connect school learning with local concerns, thereby avoiding the imposition of content and methodologies disconnected from rural life.

From a global critical perspective, UNESCO (2023) cautions that many processes of educational digitalisation presented as innovative fail to transform pedagogical practices because they are implemented from technicist and standardised approaches. In rural contexts, this situation translates into a superficial innovation that reproduces existing inequalities beneath a discourse of modernisation. In response, the report insists that technology-mediated pedagogical transformation requires structural changes in teacher training, in the curriculum, and in institutional conditions, elements without which innovation is reduced to a rhetorical declaration.

In summary, pedagogical innovation and the transformation of educational practices in rural contexts cannot be understood as homogeneous or linear processes. Rural primary education configures a setting in which innovation emerges from the articulation between situated pedagogical practices, teacher reflexivity, and the recognition of territory as a central component of the educational process. Within this web of relations, ICT can act as mediations that enhance pedagogical transformation, provided they are integrated in a critical,

contextualised, and coherent manner with the educational purposes of the rural school. Far from constituting a universal technical solution, pedagogical innovation in rural contexts is configured as a social and educational process that demands conscious decisions, community participation, and public policies oriented towards equity and territorial pertinence.

Opportunities for inclusion, equity and access to knowledge in rural primary education

The analysis of the opportunities that Information and Communication Technologies offer for inclusion, equity, and access to knowledge in rural primary education requires positioning beyond the rhetoric of closing digital divides and examining the concrete conditions in which such opportunities can materialise. In rural contexts historically marginalised by urban-centric educational policies, educational inclusion is not reducible to schooling or physical access to technology, but is closely linked to pedagogical pertinence, territorial justice, and the recognition of the structural inequalities that traverse educational processes (UNESCO & FAO, 2003; Echavarría et al., 2019).

From an educational equity perspective, ICT have been positioned by international bodies as potential tools for broadening access to knowledge in rural territories, particularly in contexts characterised by geographic isolation, scarcity of educational resources, and limited availability of specialised teachers. Atchoarena and Gasperini (2003) argue that equitable access to knowledge constitutes one of the pillars of sustainable rural development, and that rural education must guarantee not only school coverage but the provision of learning opportunities comparable in quality to those of urban contexts. Within this framework, ICT can contribute to reducing the spatial constraints that have historically limited the educational experience of rural students, provided they are integrated in a situated and pedagogically grounded manner.

Nevertheless, empirical evidence shows that opportunities for technology-mediated access to knowledge are profoundly conditioned by the structural inequalities of the educational system. Data from the (DANE, 2023) reveal that, although advances have been made in the technological provision of rural educational institutions, significant gaps in connectivity, infrastructure, and service continuity persist, directly affecting the most vulnerable students. These conditions demonstrate that access to knowledge depends not solely on the presence of devices, but on a set of structural factors that influence the actual possibility of harnessing technological mediations for educational purposes.

From the field of educational inclusion, ICT offer relevant opportunities for attending to the diversity of learning rhythms, styles, and trajectories present in rural primary education. In multigrade classrooms, where students of different ages and performance levels converge, technology can facilitate strategies of pedagogical differentiation that contribute to a more inclusive education. Abós Olivares (2020) underscores that the heterogeneity of the rural classroom constitutes a structural condition that requires flexible and adaptive pedagogical approaches; in this sense, ICT can act as mediations that enable the personalisation of learning and expand student participation opportunities, provided the teacher possesses the necessary pedagogical training to guide their use.

Inclusion, understood in sociocultural terms, also implies the recognition of local knowledge and rural identities as legitimate components of school knowledge. Gómez Tocarruncho et al. (2021) demonstrate that the rural school fulfils a central function as a space of collective meaning construction, where learning acquires value when it engages in dialogue with community experience. From this perspective, ICT offer opportunities to render peasant knowledge visible, strengthen local memory, and articulate the curriculum with territorial

concerns through pedagogical projects that integrate audiovisual production, digital documentation, and community communication. In this way, access to knowledge is not conceived as a unidirectional process of transferring external content, but as a situated construction that strengthens identity and belonging.

At the level of public policy, programmes oriented towards ICT integration in rural education have explicitly recognised their potential for promoting educational equity. The Proyecto de Educación Rural (PER II) of the Ministerio de Educación Nacional argues that technology can contribute to broadening access to quality educational resources and to reducing territorial inequalities, provided it is articulated with processes of teacher training and institutional support (MEN, 2015). However, various studies caution that fragmented implementation and dependence on short-term programmes have limited the actual scope of these initiatives, generating unequal opportunities across territories and, in some cases, deepening existing gaps.

From a global critical perspective, UNESCO (2023) maintains that ICT can become instruments of exclusion when implemented without considering the socioterritorial conditions of educational contexts. The World Education Monitoring Report warns that educational digitalisation, when oriented solely by criteria of efficiency or modernisation, risks benefiting disproportionately those populations with better access conditions, placing rural students at a disadvantage. This warning proves central to the analysis of equity, since it makes manifest that the opportunities associated with ICT are not intrinsically equitable, but must be deliberately constructed through inclusive and contextualised policies.

Peralta-Roncal et al. (2023) reinforces this point by indicating that the impact of ICT on educational equity depends on the coherence between formative objectives, the curriculum, and

the institutional conditions in which pedagogical processes unfold. In rural primary education, this implies recognising that access to knowledge cannot be measured solely in terms of connectivity or available digital resources, but in the capacity of the educational system to guarantee meaningful and culturally pertinent learning experiences for all students, regardless of their geographic location.

In this sense, Soto Arango and Molina Pacheco (2018) underscore that ICT represent an opportunity to democratise access to knowledge in the rural school only when they are integrated into pedagogical projects that recognise the complexity of the territory and strengthen the teacher's role as a mediator. Otherwise, technology risks reproducing patterns of exclusion by imposing decontextualised teaching models that neither engage with rural realities nor with the trajectories of students.

In summary, the opportunities that ICT offer for inclusion, equity, and access to knowledge in rural primary education are real, but profoundly conditioned. Their transformative potential manifests when they contribute to broadening the educational horizon of rural students, attending to the diversity of the multigrade classroom, rendering local knowledge visible, and reducing historical barriers to access to knowledge. Nevertheless, these opportunities can only be consolidated through conscious pedagogical decisions, sustained public policies, and a critical understanding of educational equity as a principle that traverses both the technological and the pedagogical dimension. From this perspective, ICT do not constitute a technical solution to educational exclusion, but a mediation that, when oriented by criteria of social justice and territorial pertinence, can contribute significantly to the construction of a more equitable and inclusive rural education.

Analytical summary of the chapter

The present chapter has developed a critical and integrated analysis of the opportunities offered by the pedagogical implementation of Information and Communication Technologies in rural primary education, placing in tension the normative discourses of educational modernisation with the structural, pedagogical, and socioterritorial conditions in which rural schools operate. Far from adopting a technodeterminist vision, the chapter has maintained that ICT do not in themselves constitute a solution to the historical inequalities of rural education, but rather pedagogical mediations whose transformative potential depends on conscious, contextually informed educational decisions oriented by principles of equity.

In the first instance, the analysis of the pedagogical potential of ICT made it possible to demonstrate that these technologies can expand learning opportunities in rural contexts characterised by geographic isolation, the heterogeneity of the multigrade classroom, and the scarcity of educational resources. Nevertheless, this potential is not activated automatically through technological provision, but requires reflective teaching practices, didactic intentionality, and articulation with the curriculum and the territory. In this sense, the chapter showed that the pedagogical value of ICT resides in their capacity to support pedagogical differentiation, strengthen student autonomy, and enrich learning experiences when they are integrated as part of coherent educational projects rather than as isolated resources.

In the second instance, the treatment of pedagogical innovation and the transformation of educational practices in rural contexts made it possible to question approaches that equate innovation with the incorporation of technologies or the adoption of external models. The analysis demonstrated that innovation in rural education is, above all, a situated process, which implies critically reviewing existing pedagogical practices, resignifying historical models, and recognising the agency of the rural teacher as a key factor in educational transformation. From

this perspective, ICT can act as catalysts for change when integrated into processes of sustained pedagogical reflection, but can equally reinforce traditional practices when implemented from logics of standardisation or the uncritical reproduction of consecrated models.

In the third instance, the chapter examined the opportunities of ICT for inclusion, equity, and access to knowledge, underscoring that these principles cannot be reduced to indicators of access or connectivity. Educational inclusion in rural contexts implies recognising the diversity of trajectories, rhythms, and knowledge systems present in the rural primary school, as well as addressing the territorial inequalities that condition the actual possibilities of learning. Within this framework, ICT demonstrate relevant potential for broadening access to knowledge, rendering local knowledge visible, and strengthening the bond between school and community, provided their implementation is inscribed within sustained public policies and culturally pertinent pedagogical practices. The chapter also highlighted that, in the absence of these conditions, technology may reproduce or even deepen existing educational gaps.

Transversally, the analysis revealed a structural tension between discourses of equity and the practices of technological implementation in rural education. While educational policies acknowledge the strategic value of ICT for reducing inequalities, their fragmented execution, the limited contextualised teacher training, and the dependence on short-term programmes have restricted the actual scope of the opportunities identified. This tension reinforces the need to understand the implementation of ICT in rural education not as a technical problem, but as a long-term pedagogical, political, and territorial challenge.

In summary, the chapter concludes that the opportunities associated with the implementation of ICT in rural primary education are real, but profoundly conditioned. Their pedagogical, innovative, and inclusive potential can only be fully realised when it is articulated

with teacher training, curricular pertinence, community participation, and public policies oriented by criteria of educational justice. From this understanding, ICT cease to be conceived as instruments of neutral modernisation and are recognised as pedagogical mediations that, when ethically oriented and contextualised within rural realities, can contribute significantly to the construction of a rural primary education that is more equitable, inclusive, and socially pertinent.

Methodology

The following chapter outlines the methodological framework governing this research. Adopting a qualitative paradigm with an interpretive-critical stance, the study seeks to deconstruct the technosolutionist narratives prevalent in rural education through a rigorous documentary analysis, ensuring the traceability and validity of the findings presented herein.

Research Approach and Type of Research

This monograph is grounded in a qualitative research approach with an interpretive-critical stance, which is essential for understanding the complexities of the rural educational landscape in Colombia. This approach allows for an in-depth exploration of how technology is perceived and implemented within specific socioterritorial contexts. The research is defined as a documentary and descriptive study, moving beyond mere observation to facilitate a critical examination of the power structures and technosolutionist ideologies that often dictate educational policy. By contrasting these ideologies with the lived realities and pedagogical needs of rural schools, the study fosters a more nuanced understanding of the phenomenon.

Unit of Analysis

The unit of analysis consists of a specialized bibliographic corpus focused on the integration of ICT in rural primary schools. This corpus includes a curated selection of academic literature, national public policy documents from the Colombian Ministry of National Education, and international frameworks provided by organizations such as UNESCO. The selection was designed to provide a comprehensive view of the state of the art, ensuring that the analysis is supported by both theoretical foundations and empirical evidence relevant to the Colombian territory and its specific educational challenges.

Source Selection Criteria

The construction of the documentary corpus that supports this monograph followed a systematic and phased process designed to ensure the traceability and reproducibility of the research decisions made at each stage. The search was conducted between January and March 2025 across four specialized academic databases: SciELO, Redalyc, Dialnet, and Google Scholar. The descriptors used in the searches were formulated in both Spanish and English to maximize coverage across the bilingual production of the field, and included terms such as "rural primary education," "ICT in rural schools," "technology-mediated pedagogies," "educación rural primaria," "TIC en escuelas rurales," "pedagogías mediadas por tecnología," and "brecha digital educativa." These descriptors were combined using Boolean operators to refine results and produce search strings of varying specificity, moving from broader conceptual searches toward more focused thematic queries as the review progressed.

The initial search phase yielded a preliminary pool of approximately 180 documents across all databases. These were subjected to a first filter based on title and abstract reading, applying the inclusion and exclusion criteria described in the preceding section. Documents that addressed ICT in higher education exclusively, that focused on urban contexts without rural components, that lacked a clear pedagogical dimension, or that fell outside the 2014 to 2024 range without constituting seminal theoretical contributions were excluded at this stage. After this first filter, 94 documents remained for full-text review. A second and more detailed filter was then applied during full-text reading, which further excluded sources that, despite passing the initial screening, proved upon close reading to be primarily technical or descriptive rather than analytically relevant to the categories of analysis guiding this study. This second filter reduced the corpus to 48 documents that were incorporated into the analytical matrices and

directly informed the theoretical construction and critical discussion developed across the chapters of this monograph.

The 48 sources retained for analysis were organized into three broad categories according to their nature and function within the study. The first category comprised peer-reviewed academic articles and book chapters focused on the theoretical and pedagogical dimensions of ICT integration in rural and multigrade education, which provided the conceptual backbone for the analytical framework. The second category included national public policy documents and institutional reports, particularly those produced by the Colombian Ministry of National Education and regional education authorities, which grounded the analysis in the specific normative and programmatic context of Colombia. The third category consisted of international frameworks and comparative studies produced by organizations such as UNESCO, the OECD, and the FAO, which situated the Colombian case within global debates on educational equity, rural development, and technology-mediated learning. This tripartite organization of the corpus enabled the bibliographic triangulation that characterizes the analytical procedure of this study, allowing the research to contrast theoretical positions, empirical findings, and policy perspectives across the four analytical categories that structure its interpretive process.

Information Collection Technique

The data collection was conducted through the critical documentary analysis technique, which was structured in several systematic stages to guarantee the traceability of the process. Initially, an exhaustive search strategy was performed across specialized databases such as SciELO, Redalyc, Dialnet, and Google Scholar using Boolean operators and controlled descriptors to refine the results. Subsequently, the information was organized into analytical matrices to facilitate the structured extraction of key data, including the author, year, and central

thesis of each source. Finally, the process involved bibliographic triangulation to contrast different authors' perspectives, identify literature gaps, and consolidate a clear epistemological position regarding the challenges of technology-mediated pedagogies.

Categories of Analysis

To ensure a structured and profound analysis, the research is organized around four core analytical categories that guide the interpretive process. The first category, pedagogical mediation, examines the role of the teacher as a bridge between technology and meaningful learning. The second category focuses on technosolutionist approaches by identifying and critiquing the belief that technology alone can solve structural educational gaps. Furthermore, the category of socioterritorial contexts analyzes how geographic and social conditions in rural areas determine the success or failure of digital tools. Finally, curricular relevance evaluates the alignment between technological implementation and the specific pedagogical needs of rural students and their communities.

Analysis Procedure

The analysis procedure followed a thematic and interpretive approach where the information was subjected to a process of synthesis and critique rather than simple summarization. This involved identifying recurring patterns, contradictions, and emerging themes across the corpus to move from descriptive reporting toward a theoretical construction. By triangulating theoretical frameworks with current policy data, the study explores the underlying tensions between institutional mandates and pedagogical realities. This procedure ensures that the conclusions are not merely observational but result from a rigorous intellectual exercise of deconstructing and rebuilding the concepts studied, thereby guaranteeing the internal validity of the research.

Scientific Rigor and Validity

The rigor of this research is fundamentally anchored in the traceability of the documentary process, ensuring that every stage of the investigation, from the initial formulation of search queries in specialized databases to the final interpretive synthesis, remains transparent and verifiable. This methodological transparency guarantees that the findings are not merely anecdotal but are strictly grounded in empirical and theoretical evidence, providing a clear analytical path for the academic community to evaluate. Furthermore, the validity of the results is reinforced by the deliberate selection of high-impact academic sources and the application of a consistent, systematic analytical procedure that minimizes subjective bias. By employing bibliographic triangulation to contrast diverse perspectives and maintaining a necessary critical distance from the analyzed texts, the study achieves a high level of academic integrity. This comprehensive approach ensures that the resulting insights constitute a reliable and significant contribution to the field of rural education and technology-mediated pedagogies in the Colombian context.

Results and Discussion

Results

The findings of this documentary study are organized around four analytical categories that structured the review process throughout: pedagogical mediation, technosolutionist approaches, socioterritorial contexts, and curricular relevance. These categories do not operate as independent dimensions; they constitute an interconnected interpretive framework whose analytical value resides precisely in the relationships among them. What follows is not a descriptive inventory of isolated sources, but a synthesis of the convergences, tensions, and contradictions that became visible across the corpus as a whole through a rigorous interpretive process.

The first and most structurally significant finding concerns pedagogical mediation. Across the entire documentary corpus, the evidence points consistently in the same direction: the educational impact of ICT in rural primary schools is determined by the quality of the teacher's pedagogical mediation, and not by the technological sophistication or material availability of the tools themselves. This finding displaces the analytical center from the device to the educational action that confers meaning upon it. When the sources documenting successful ICT integration in rural classrooms were examined, the common denominator was never the type of technology deployed or the level of connectivity available, but invariably the presence of a teacher who had incorporated the digital resource into a coherent didactic design, with clear formative purposes and a deliberate relationship between the tool and the learning objective. Conversely, the sources documenting failed or superficial integrations consistently described the same structural pattern: devices were present, connectivity was operational, and yet pedagogical practice remained essentially unchanged, because technology had been appended to the surface of teaching without

altering its underlying logic. This pattern reveals that access to technology and pedagogical mediation are not equivalent conditions, and policies that treat them as such operate upon a fundamentally mistaken assumption about how learning is produced.

The second finding concerns the technosolutionist tendency that the documentary analysis identifies as a persistent feature of educational policy directed at rural schools. The review reveals a structural gap between the narratives through which ICT programs have been designed at the policy level, which tend to frame digital access as a sufficient condition for educational improvement, and the evidence accumulated by academic research, which demonstrates with remarkable consistency that material investment in devices and infrastructure does not translate into pedagogical transformation unless accompanied by sustained and contextually grounded teacher development and institutional support. What renders this finding particularly significant is that it is neither a recent observation nor an exclusively Colombian one. The critical literature on technological modernization in rural education has been constructed over decades and across multiple national contexts, and what the Colombian experience reveals is the reproduction of the same dynamic documented elsewhere: programs distribute equipment, connectivity indicators improve, institutional reports register advances in coverage, and the pedagogical conditions that would allow those resources to generate real learning remain systematically unaddressed. This is not a failure of implementation in the conventional administrative sense; it is the predictable outcome of a policy logic that conflates the provision of an artefact with the construction of a pedagogical condition.

The third finding emerges from what the documentary analysis revealed about the relationship between ICT integration and the socioterritorial conditions that define rural primary education in Colombia. Geographic dispersion, multigrade classroom organization, high teacher

rotation, limited and intermittent connectivity, weak institutional proximity, and the absence of sustained pedagogical accompaniment are not external variables that complicate the integration of technology from the outside. They are the constitutive features of the context within which any technological mediation must operate, and understanding them as such changes fundamentally the questions it is analytically meaningful to ask. What the corpus consistently reveals is that the frameworks most frequently employed to evaluate ICT integration in education were built for contexts that presuppose stable connectivity, single-grade homogeneous classrooms, teachers with prior digital training, and families with the cultural and material resources to reinforce technology-mediated learning at home. None of these presuppositions describes the Colombian rural primary school with any fidelity. The consequence is not merely that those frameworks produce inaccurate assessments; they render invisible the pedagogical logic that actually operates in rural classrooms, and they systematically misread as deficiencies what are often contextually rational adaptations developed by teachers working under structural constraints that the frameworks themselves cannot perceive.

The fourth finding addresses curricular relevance, and it is the dimension that most directly connects the pedagogical questions of this study to their broader political and epistemological implications. The documentary analysis documents a recurrent and structurally reproduced misalignment between the digital content, pedagogical models, and learning activities promoted through ICT programs and the sociocultural, productive, territorial, and community realities of rural students and their schools. This misalignment is not a matter of poor content selection; it is the expression of a more fundamental condition, namely that the curricular frameworks within which technology is inserted were themselves designed for urban educational realities, and the introduction of ICT into those frameworks does not correct their

decontextualization but amplifies it, because digital platforms and content tend to carry the same standardized and urban-centered assumptions that characterize the curricula into which they are incorporated. Alongside this dominant pattern, however, the corpus also documents a set of experiences in which technology was employed in a qualitatively different manner: to record and systematize local agricultural and cultural knowledge, to articulate school learning with community life, and to make the territorial realities of rural students visible within the educational space itself. These experiences represent exceptions rather than the norm, but their analytical significance lies precisely in that status: they are demonstrated possibilities rather than theoretical ideals, and they define what curricular relevance in technology-mediated rural education can look like when it is pursued with genuine intentionality.

Taken together, these four findings configure an analytically precise portrait of technology-mediated pedagogies in Colombian rural primary education. The transformative potential of ICT in this context is real, but it is systematically conditioned, and in the current structural reality of most Colombian rural schools, systematically frustrated, by the quality of pedagogical mediation, the persistence of technosolutionist policy logic, the inadequate recognition of socioterritorial specificities, and the misalignment between digital implementation and the curricular and community realities of rural territories. The principal obstacle to realizing that potential is not technological, logistical, or financial; it is epistemological. The frameworks through which ICT integration in education is conceived, designed, governed, and evaluated in Colombia were not built for rural schools, do not reflect rural pedagogical realities, and cannot generate the conditions for meaningful change as long as they remain unreconstructed.

Discussion

The purpose of this discussion is not to restate the findings described above, but to interpret their significance, examine the tensions and contradictions they reveal, and construct a critical position that synthesizes the analytical work developed throughout this monograph. This interpretive exercise is organized around the same four categories that structured the documentary analysis, since it is through those categories that the most productive theoretical debates in the corpus can be most rigorously examined.

The finding related to pedagogical mediation invites a deeper interrogation of what the literature actually means when it affirms the centrality of the teacher in ICT integration, because the risk of that affirmation, when left unexamined, is that it shifts responsibility onto individual teachers for conditions that are structurally produced. Cardozo Gavilán (2022) demonstrates that teachers who receive sustained and pedagogically focused professional development achieve significantly richer uses of ICT in their classrooms, but the same study reveals that such development remains the exception rather than the rule in rural Colombian schools. Abós Olivares (2020) adds a further layer of complexity by showing that the multigrade structure of rural classrooms demands a form of pedagogical reasoning that is qualitatively distinct from the single-grade, single-subject logic on which most teacher training programs are built, which means that the mediating role of the rural teacher cannot be activated through generic training events but requires continuous, contextualized, and institutionally supported professional development that takes the specific demands of rural pedagogy as its starting point. When Peralta-Roncal et al. (2023) observes that rural teachers who do manage to develop genuinely transformative ICT-mediated practices tend to do so through self-directed learning and peer exchange rather than through formal training channels, the finding reads not as evidence of teacher resilience but as an institutional failure that the literature has been persistently slow to

name as such. From a Latin American academic perspective, this dynamic is particularly troubling, insofar as it naturalizes structural abandonment under the guise of professional autonomy, and it obscures the degree to which the mediating capacity that the literature celebrates is produced in spite of institutional conditions rather than because of them. A genuinely critical reading of the corpus on pedagogical mediation must therefore insist that celebrating teacher agency without simultaneously demanding the institutional conditions that make that agency sustainable is not a neutral analytical position; it is a political one, and it tends to serve the interests of systems that benefit from keeping the burden of compensation on individual teachers.

The discussion of technosolutionism requires confronting a tension that traverses the entire corpus with particular insistence. On one side, organizations such as UNESCO and the OECD have consistently advocated for digital connectivity in rural schools as a structural condition for educational equity, framing access as a right and technology as a vehicle for democratizing knowledge (Echazarra and Radinger, 2019). On the other side, Atchoarena and Gasperini (2003), in a foundational analysis that subsequent scholarship has repeatedly confirmed rather than superseded, argued that rural education systems in developing countries tend to absorb the symbolic form of modernization without its substantive content, incorporating devices as institutional markers of progress without altering the pedagogical practices and curricular logics that produce exclusion. The two positions are not irreconcilable, but their reconciliation requires a conceptual move that much educational technology policy has been unwilling to make, namely acknowledging that connectivity and devices are necessary but radically insufficient conditions, and that the transformative potential of ICT is entirely dependent on what happens pedagogically once the infrastructure is in place. Hoyos-Pipicano

and Jaime-Osorio (2025) bring this tension into the Colombian rural context with particular sharpness, showing through teacher narratives that the normalization of technology in rural schools is a process that unfolds over years of institutional negotiation, pedagogical experimentation, and community trust building, none of which can be produced by a connectivity program or a device distribution initiative acting alone. From this perspective, the persistence of technosolutionist logic in Colombian educational policy is not merely an intellectual error; it is a structural convenience, because it allows institutions to demonstrate investment and progress through measurable indicators of coverage while deferring indefinitely the more complex, more expensive, and politically less legible work of transforming pedagogical conditions. This critical reading does not dismiss the importance of infrastructure, but it insists that infrastructure without pedagogy is not educational policy; it is logistics.

The analytical category of socioterritorial contexts opens the most epistemologically consequential dimension of the discussion. The documentary corpus reveals that the dominant frameworks for understanding and evaluating ICT integration in education were built from and for urban, technologically saturated, institutionally stable school environments, and when those frameworks are applied to rural primary education without critical adaptation, they consistently misread the evidence, interpreting as limitations or deficits what reveal themselves, upon closer examination, as contextually rational responses to specific material and pedagogical conditions. Gómez Tocarruncho et al. (2021) make this argument with particular clarity in their analysis of the rural school as a sociocultural space, demonstrating that the pedagogical logic of rural education is organized around the relationship between school and community in ways that urban-centered frameworks systematically fail to capture. The Fundación Empresarios por la Educación (2024) documents the specific case of Norte de Santander, showing that the

challenges rural teachers face in that department are not exceptional conditions but representative of structural patterns affecting a significant proportion of Colombian rural schools. What makes this finding analytically significant beyond its descriptive content is the epistemological implication it carries: if the frameworks used to assess ICT integration were not built for the contexts they are now being applied to, then the evaluations they produce are not merely incomplete; they are constitutively distorted. They measure rural schools against urban standards, find them deficient, and attribute that deficiency to local conditions rather than to the frameworks themselves. Correcting this requires not methodological adjustment but epistemological reconstruction, and that reconstruction must begin with the recognition that rural educational contexts are not peripheral variations of an urban norm but distinct educational territories with their own pedagogical logic, their own relational structures, and their own criteria for what constitutes meaningful learning.

The discussion of curricular relevance brings together threads that appear across all four analytical categories and reveals their deepest interconnection. Echavarría, Vanegas, and García (2019) argue that rural education is not an urban concept, meaning that the standards, expectations, and curricular models through which rural schools are designed, evaluated, and supported were produced from an epistemic position that does not recognize rural life, rural knowledge, and rural pedagogical logic as legitimate starting points for educational design. When ICT is introduced into that already decontextualized curricular framework, it does not correct the misalignment; it amplifies it, because digital content and platforms tend to reflect the same urban, standardized, and instrumentalist assumptions that characterize the curricula into which they are inserted. Soto Arango and Molina Pacheco (2018) document this dynamic in their historical analysis of rural school organization in Colombia, showing that even pedagogically

innovative models such as Escuela Nueva eventually reproduce the very standardization they were designed to overcome when they become institutionalized and disconnected from the critical reflection that originally animated them, a finding that constitutes a sobering warning for any initiative that seeks to use ICT as a vehicle for curricular relevance without attending to the deeper epistemological conditions that make such relevance possible. Hernández Silva (2020) extends this critique to the policy level, demonstrating that curricular flexibility for rural contexts remains a normative aspiration that is rarely translated into the concrete pedagogical guidance and institutional support that rural teachers would need to operationalize it. Against this backdrop, the experiences documented by Carrete-Marín and Domingo-Peñafiel (2023), in which digital open educational resources were used to strengthen community identity, document local knowledge, and articulate school learning with territorial realities, represent not merely pedagogical innovation but a genuine epistemological reorientation, one that positions rural communities as producers of knowledge rather than recipients of externally designed content. From a Latin American perspective, these experiences resonate with the broader intellectual tradition of popular education and critical pedagogy that has long insisted on the epistemological legitimacy of community-based knowledge, and they suggest that the most consequential contribution ICT can make to rural education is not the transmission of standardized digital content but the amplification of the knowledge that rural communities already possess and the creation of conditions in which that knowledge can be recognized, systematized, and shared within the educational space itself.

What emerges from reading these four dimensions together is a conclusion that the corpus as a whole sustains but rarely states with sufficient directness: the principal obstacle to realizing the transformative potential of ICT in rural primary education in Colombia is not

technological but epistemological. The frameworks through which educational technology is conceived, governed, and evaluated in this country were not built for rural schools, do not reflect rural pedagogical realities, and cannot generate the conditions for meaningful change as long as they remain unreformed. Reforming them requires more than policy adjustment; it requires a sustained intellectual and political commitment to recognizing rural education as a pedagogically legitimate field with its own logic, its own knowledge traditions, and its own criteria for what constitutes educational quality and innovation. This is not a comfortable conclusion for systems that have invested heavily in the rhetoric of digital inclusion, but it is the conclusion that the evidence, read critically and honestly, demands.

Conclusions and Recommendations

Conclusions

The documentary analysis developed throughout this monograph makes it possible to conclude, in general terms, that technology-mediated pedagogies in rural primary education in Colombia cannot be understood as an isolated technical phenomenon. Rather, they constitute a pedagogical reality deeply conditioned by structural inequalities, territorial particularities, and institutional decisions that determine whether the integration of ICT functions as an instrument of educational equity or as a mechanism that reproduces existing exclusions. Each of the analytical axes examined reveals that the relationship between technology and rural education is not defined by the presence of digital tools, but by the pedagogical, curricular, and policy conditions that give them or deny them educational meaning.

The analysis of the theoretical and pedagogical literature reveals that ICT-mediated pedagogies constitute a contested field traversed by tensions between technosolutionist conceptions and critical pedagogical perspectives. The theoretical frameworks examined converge in repositioning technology not as an autonomous agent of educational transformation, but as a pedagogical mediation whose meaning is constructed within the intersection of teaching practices, curricular purposes, and sociocultural contexts. Approaches derived from sociocultural pedagogy, critical rural education, and institutional analyses of educational technology agree that the applicability of ICT-mediated pedagogies in rural primary education depends on the degree to which digital tools are integrated with intentionality, contextual sensitivity, and genuine responsiveness to the structural and pedagogical specificities of the multigrade classroom. This theoretical clarification is not merely academic, as it has direct implications for policy and

practice by challenging the premise that equipping rural schools with devices is equivalent to transforming their educational quality.

The documentary review identifies a persistent and structurally grounded set of barriers that constrain the effective implementation of ICT in rural Colombian primary education. Chief among these is the digital divide, expressed through limited infrastructure, unstable connectivity, and uneven access to basic services, and the insufficient preparation of rural teachers for pedagogical rather than merely technical integration of digital tools. The literature further documents the recurrent problem of curricular misalignment, whereby digital resources are introduced within urban-centered curricular frameworks that fail to resonate with rural students' sociocultural realities, thereby limiting their contribution to meaningful learning. At the same time, the analysis identifies genuine opportunities, since when ICT is integrated with pedagogical intention and adapted to multigrade contexts, it can broaden access to knowledge, support differentiated learning strategies, strengthen student motivation, and foster collaborative and community-connected educational experiences. These opportunities are not intrinsic properties of the technology itself, but conditional possibilities whose realization depends on sustained public investment, contextualized teacher development, and coherent institutional support.

The analysis confirms that technology-mediated pedagogies are pedagogically relevant for teaching and learning in rural primary education, but only under conditions that current policy and institutional practice have not yet secured consistently across Colombian rural territories. Their relevance unfolds across three interconnected dimensions. ICT-mediated pedagogies can strengthen the quality of teaching by expanding the didactic repertoire available to the rural teacher, supporting flexible planning, and enabling differentiated instruction within structurally heterogeneous multigrade groups. They can also enhance learning processes by

creating environments that promote active student participation, peer collaboration, and the development of digital competencies aligned with the demands of contemporary citizenship. Beyond these pedagogical dimensions, they carry sociocultural relevance when used to visibilize local knowledge, document territorial experience, and articulate school learning with community life, which are dimensions that are central to the educational dignity of rural schools. However, this relevance is conditional and is only realized when technology is embedded in pedagogical projects that recognize the specificity of rural educational contexts rather than imposing standardized models designed for homogeneous urban classrooms.

The conclusions drawn from the documentary analysis point toward a set of orientative lines for strengthening technology-mediated pedagogies in rural primary education, which are developed in the recommendations that follow. The literature consistently supports three overarching directions: the prioritization of sustained, contextualized, and pedagogically focused teacher professional development over episodic technical training; the revision of curricular frameworks to ensure genuine relevance for rural sociocultural and territorial realities; and the design of long-term institutionally coherent public policies that move beyond the logic of short-term infrastructure delivery toward the construction of conditions for sustained pedagogical transformation. The evidence reviewed demonstrates that isolated interventions, however well-resourced, cannot produce the kind of structural change that technology-mediated pedagogies require to fulfill their transformative potential in rural primary education.

Taken together, these conclusions reaffirm that technology-mediated pedagogies represent not a technical solution but a pedagogical opportunity. Their contribution to educational equity in rural Colombia is real but conditioned, and realizing that condition

demands intentional decisions, contextual understanding, and a sustained commitment to justice in rural primary education.

Recommendations

Based on these conclusions, and consistent with the documentary and analytical nature of this study, the following recommendations are proposed as orientative lines for reflection and action, rather than as prescriptive solutions.

First, it is recommended to approach the integration of technology in rural primary education from a structural perspective that recognizes infrastructure and connectivity as necessary but insufficient conditions. Any initiative aimed at strengthening technology-mediated pedagogies should be conceived as part of a broader educational strategy that simultaneously addresses material conditions, pedagogical practices, and institutional support.

Second, greater emphasis should be placed on continuous and contextualized teacher professional development centered on pedagogical mediation. Rather than focusing primarily on technical skills, training processes should support rural teachers in designing learning experiences that meaningfully integrate ICT within multigrade classrooms and diverse territorial realities. Strengthening teacher agency emerges as a key condition for transforming technological access into pedagogical value.

Third, curricular frameworks should be revised to ensure greater flexibility and relevance for rural contexts. The analysis suggests the need to move beyond the digital reproduction of urban-centered curricula and toward pedagogical proposals that recognize local knowledge, rural identities, and community practices. Technology-mediated pedagogies can only contribute to meaningful learning when they are embedded in curricula that resonate with students' social and cultural environments.

Fourth, educational policies related to ICT integration should prioritize long-term sustainability over short-term visibility. Coordinated action between institutions, stable investment, and consistent pedagogical accompaniment are necessary to avoid fragmented initiatives that disappear once initial funding ends. Sustainable pedagogical transformation requires continuity, institutional coherence, and shared responsibility.

Finally, future research should continue to explore technology-mediated pedagogies in rural education from perspectives that center rural schools, teachers, and communities as active subjects rather than peripheral recipients of innovation. Documenting locally situated practices, teacher narratives, and context-specific pedagogical experiences can contribute to building knowledge that is both academically rigorous and territorially relevant.

Taken together, these conclusions and recommendations reaffirm that technology-mediated pedagogies represent not a technical solution, but a pedagogical opportunity whose realization depends on intentional educational decisions, contextual understanding, and a commitment to equity in rural primary education.

References

Abós Olivares, P. (2020). La escuela ubicada en territorios rurales: Una escuela diferente, un reto pedagógico. *Aula Abierta*, 49(1), 39–48. https://doi.org/10.17811/rifie.49.1.2020.39-48

Atchoarena, D., & Gasperini, L. (Eds.). (2003). *Education for rural development: Towards new policy responses*. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations; United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization; International Institute for Educational Planning. https://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED499625

Blanco Ariza, Y. L., & Carruyo Durán, N. Y. (2022). La deserción escolar en educación rural. *Revista Conocimiento, Investigación y Educación CIE*, 2(15), 14–27. https://doi.org/10.47212/cie2022vol.2.15.2

Cadavid Rojas, A. M. (2017). *Las guías de aprendizaje: El currículo que se define para la escuela primaria rural desde el modelo Escuela Nueva en Colombia*. Universidad de Medellín. https://bibliotecadigital.udea.edu.co/server/api/core/bitstreams/bbc64e4b-6a5d-4d71-8d7e-9c4fda53ef12/content

Cardozo Gavilán, M. S. (2022). Uso de las TIC en el proceso de enseñanza–aprendizaje en estudiantes del primer y segundo ciclo de la educación escolar básica. *Ciencia Latina Revista Científica Multidisciplinar*, 6(6), 8354–8371. https://doi.org/10.37811/cl_rcm.v6i6.4002

Carrete-Marín, N., & Domingo-Peñafiel, L. (2021). Los recursos tecnológicos en las aulas multigrado. *Revista Brasileira de Educação do Campo.* https://periodicos.ufnt.edu.br/index.php/campo/article/view/13452

Carrete-Marín, N., & Domingo-Peñafiel, L. (2023). Transformación digital y educación abierta en la escuela rural. *Prisma Social*, (41). https://revistaprismasocial.es/ps/article/view/5058

Coll Salvador, C., Díaz Barriga Arceo, F., Engel Rocamora, A., & Salinas Ibáñez, J. (2023). Evidencias de aprendizaje en prácticas educativas mediadas por tecnologías digitales. *RIED. Revista Iberoamericana de Educación a Distancia*, *26*(2), 9-20. https://doi.org/10.5944/ried.26.2.37293

Cruz-Carbonell, V., Hernández-Arias, Á. F., & Silva-Arias, A. C. (2020). Cobertura de las TIC en la educación básica rural y urbana en Colombia - 2018. *Revista Profundidad*, *13*(13), 39-48. https://doi.org/10.22463/24221783.2578

Daniels, H. (2022). *Vygotsky and pedagogy* (2.ª ed.). Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315622057

Departamento Administrativo Nacional de Estadística. (2023). *Colombia: Educación formal 2023*. DANE. https://www.dane.gov.co/index.php/estadisticas-por-tema/educacion/poblacion-escolarizada/educacion-formal

Echavarría, C. V., Vanegas, J. H., & García, N. D. (2019). La educación rural no es un concepto urbano. *Revista Educación y Pedagogía*, 31(80), 15–27. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/337913515_La_educacion_rural_no_es_un_concepto_urbano

Echazarra, A., & Radinger, T. (2019). *Learning in rural schools: Insights from PISA, TALIS and the literature* (OECD Education Working Papers No. 196). OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/8b1a5cb9-en

FAO, IIPE, & OREALC/UNESCO. (2004). *Educación para la población rural en América Latina*. UNESCO. https://www.fao.org/3/y5517s/y5517s00.htm

Forero Quiroga, I. Y. (2013). *El rol del docente en la escuela rural multigrado*. Universidad Pedagógica Nacional. https://www.lareferencia.info/vufind/Record/CO_3a01d3eb430f1b2fa0db4dffc4125931

Fundación Empresarios por la Educación. (2024). *¿Cómo está Norte de Santander en educación? Retos y prioridades 2024-2027*. Observatorio ExE. https://fundacionexe.org.co/

Garcés-Prettel, M., Ruiz-Cantillo, R., & Martínez-Ávila, D. (2014). Transformación pedagógica mediada por tecnologías de la información y la comunicación (TIC). *Saber, Ciencia y Libertad*, 9(2), 217–228. https://www.redalyc.org/pdf/7369/736980918013.pdf

Gobernación de Norte de Santander. (2024). *Plan de Desarrollo para Norte de Santander 2024-2027: "Norte, Territorio de Paz"*. https://administrador.nortedesantander.gov.co/wp-content/uploads/2024/06/NdS-PDD-2024-2027-Norte-Territorio-de-Paz-Capitulos-I-II-III.pdf

Gómez Tocarruncho, J., Bustos Velazco, A., & Reyes Roncancio, J. (2021). La escuela rural como espacio sociocultural. *Revista Educación y Territorio*, *11*(2). https://www.redalyc.org/journal/357/35767959012/35767959012.pdf

Hernández-Silva, D. (2020). Educación para el desarrollo rural. Análisis crítico del proceso de implementación del programa Escuela Nueva en Colombia. *Revista Innova Educación*, *2*(4), 522-543. https://doi.org/10.35622/j.rie.2020.04.002

Hoyos-Pipicano, Y. A., & Jaime-Osorio, M. F. (2025). Technology normalization in rural education: Narratives of public school teachers from Colombia. *Educación y Educadores*, 27(2), e2722. https://doi.org/10.5294/edu.2024.27.2.2

Ministerio de Educación Nacional. (2015). *Proyecto de Educación Rural – PER II*. MEN. https://www.mineducacion.gov.co/portal/Preescolar-basica-y-media/Proyectos-Cobertura/329722:Proyecto-de-Educacion-Rural-PER

Ministerio de Educación Nacional. (2020). *Plan especial de educación rural*. Ministerio de Educación Nacional. https://www.mineducacion.gov.co/1780/articles-404773_Recurso_01.pdf

Ministerio de Educación Nacional. (2021). *Lineamiento técnico 2021: Programa Conexión Total*. Oficina de Tecnología y Sistemas de Información. https://www.mineducacion.gov.co/1759/articles-321649_recurso_2.pdf

Ministerio de Educación Nacional. (2025). *Lineamientos de integración de TIC en los procesos educativos*. MEN. https://www.mineducacion.gov.co/1780/articles-321649_recurso_8.pdf

Organización de las Naciones Unidas para la Educación, la Ciencia y la Cultura. (2023). *Informe de seguimiento de la educación en el mundo 2023: Tecnología en la educación: ¿Una herramienta en los términos de quién?* UNESCO. https://doi.org/10.54676/NEDS2300

Organización de las Naciones Unidas para la Educación, la Ciencia y la Cultura. (2012). *Declaración de París de 2012 sobre los Recursos Educativos Abiertos*. UNESCO. https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000246687_spa

Peralta-Roncal, L. E., Gaona Portal, M. P., Luna Acuña, M. L., & Bazán Linares, M. V. (2023). Las tecnologías de la información y la comunicación (TIC) en educación secundaria: Una

revisión sistemática. *Revista Andina de Educación*, 7(1), 000711. https://doi.org/10.32719/26312816.2023.7.1.1

Soto Arango, D. E., & Molina Pacheco, L. F. (2018). La escuela rural en Colombia como escenario de implementación de tecnologías de la información y la comunicación. *Revista Historia de la Educación Latinoamericana*, 20(31), 199–222. https://doi.org/10.19053/01227238.8562